



Lipidomics Reveals Myocardial Lipid Composition in a Murine Model of Insulin Resistance Induced by a High-Fat Diet

Josefa Girona ^{1,2}, Oria Soler ^{1,2}, Sara Samino ^{2,3}, Alexandra Junza ^{2,3}, Neus Martínez-Micaelo ^{1,2}, María García-Altares ^{2,3}, Pere Ràfols ^{2,3}, Yaiza Esteban ^{1,2}, Oscar Yanes ^{2,3}, Xavier Correig ^{2,3}, Lluís Masana ^{1,2}, and Ricardo Rodríguez-Calvo ^{1,2,*}

- ¹ Vascular Medicine and Metabolism Unit, Research Unit on Lipids and Atherosclerosis, "Sant Joan" University Hospital, Institut de Investigació Sanitaria Pere Virgili (IISPV), Universitat Rovira i Virgili, 43204 Reus, Spain
- ² Spanish Biomedical Research Centre in Diabetes and Associated Metabolic Disorders (CIBERDEM), Institute of Health Carlos III, 28029 Madrid, Spain
- ³ Metabolomics Platform, Department of Electronic Engineering (DEEEA), Universitat Rovira i Virgili, 43002 Tarragona, Spain
- * Correspondence: ricardo.rodriguez@ciberdem.org

Abstract: Ectopic fat accumulation in non-adipose tissues is closely related to diabetes-related myocardial dysfunction. Nevertheless, the complete picture of the lipid metabolites involved in the metabolic-related myocardial alterations is not fully characterized. The aim of this study was to characterize the specific lipid profile in hearts in an animal model of obesity/insulin resistance induced by a high-fat diet (HFD). The cardiac lipidome profiles were assessed via liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC–MS)/MS-MS and laser desorption/ionization–mass spectrometry (LDI–MS) tissue imaging in hearts from C57BL/6J mice fed with an HFD or standard-diet (STD) for 12 weeks. Targeted lipidome analysis identified a total of 63 lipids (i.e., 48 triacylglycerols (TG), 5 diacylglycerols (DG), 1 sphingomyelin (SM), 3 phosphatidylcholines (PC), 1 DihydroPC, and 5 carnitines) modified in hearts from HFD-fed mice compared to animals fed with STD. Whereas most of the TG were up-regulated in hearts from animals fed with an HFD, most of the carnitines were down-regulated, thereby suggesting a reduction in the mitochondrial β -oxidation. Roughly 30% of the identified metabolites were oxidated, pointing to an increase in lipid peroxidation. Cardiac lipidome was associated with a specific biochemical profile and a specific liver TG pattern. Overall, our study reveals a specific cardiac lipid fingerprint associated with metabolic alterations induced by HFD.

Keywords: myocardial steatosis; lipid peroxidation; cardiac lipotoxicity

1. Introduction

Ectopic fat accumulation in non-adipose tissues is closely related to an altered cardiac structure, impaired contractile function, both systolic and diastolic myocardial dysfunction, and increased risk for cardiometabolic events [1–5]. Actually, it has been reported that myocardial lipid accumulation precedes myocardial dysfunction [3,5]. Thereby, ectopic fat depots may be clinically useful to identify individuals at increased cardiometabolic risk [6]. Functional alterations of epicardial adipose tissue found in obese and diabetic patients have been linked to both vascular and myocardial dysfunction [7,8]. Additionally, the intramyocellular build-up of inert triacylglycerol stores and bioactive lipid metabolites in cardiac cells regulates cellular signaling pathways leading to an altered cardiac function related to insulin resistance [9,10]. Apart from myocardial dysfunction [11]. In fact, cardiovascular (CV) disease is the leading cause of mortality in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) [12,13], and patients with NAFLD are at two-fold risk of dying of CV disease than liver disease [14]. Therefore, the cardiometabolic risk related to fat content is the result not only of the ectopic fat levels but also of its localization.



Citation: Girona, J.; Soler, O.; Samino, S.; Junza, A.; Martínez-Micaelo, N.; García-Altares, M.; Ràfols, P.; Esteban, Y.; Yanes, O.; Correig, X.; et al. Lipidomics Reveals Myocardial Lipid Composition in a Murine Model of Insulin Resistance Induced by a High-Fat Diet. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2024, 25, 2702. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms25052702

Academic Editor: Sang Geon Kim

Received: 29 January 2024 Revised: 18 February 2024 Accepted: 22 February 2024 Published: 26 February 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Clinical determination of the fat content has been performed via proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy (1H-MRS) during last decade [15]. However, this approach is costexpensive and requires specialized centers and personnel, so it is not suitable for a large screening population. Furthermore, it does not allow us to discern between the type and distribution of myocardial fat, so the fat composition of the myocardium of individuals with metabolic disorders is not fully characterized. Since certain bioactive lipid metabolites, such as triacylglycerols (TG), diacylglycerols (DG), or ceramides (Cer), among others, can activate cellular signaling pathways, leading to the activation of an altered metabolic response [16–19], the myocardial fat characterization in metabolic individuals is of special relevance.

Here, we analyze the myocardial fat composition in a murine model of obesity/insulin resistance induced by a high-fat diet (HFD) using both liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC–MS) and laser desorption/ionization–mass spectrometry (LDI–MS) tissue imaging approaches. Furthermore, we evaluate the potential associations of the most representative cardiac metabolites with both the biochemical plasma variables and liver TG.

2. Results

2.1. HFD Induces Ectopic Fat Accumulation in Heart

The HFD increased body weight (28%, p < 0.001) and induced both fasting blood glucose (~2.1-fold, p < 0.001) and the homeostatic model assessment (HOMA) insulin resistance index (~3.9-fold, p < 0.05) compared with control mice fed with a standard diet (STD) [20–23]. Oil Red O staining clearly showed a greater lipid deposition in the hearts of animals fed with an HFD than in the hearts of STD-fed mice (Figure 1A). In order to explore the myocardial lipid composition in our model, an untargeted approach using LC/MS analyses identified a total of 188 metabolites differentially expressed between the hearts from STD- and HFD-fed animals (Figure 1B). To obtain a preliminary picture of the overall differences in the cardiac metabolites between both study groups, an exploratory PCA, including the differentially expressed hits, was performed. The first (PC1)—but not the second (PC2)—component clearly classified the STD- and HFD-fed animals, explaining 61.5% of the variance in the data (Figure 1C).



Figure 1. HFD induced myocardial lipid accumulation in C57BL/6J mice. (**A**) Representative Oil Red O staining in hearts cross sections from mice fed with STD or HFD. Scale bar: 50 μm. (**B**) Volcano plot

of all hits in hearts from STD- and HFD-fed animals. Colored points indicate metabolites that were significantly down- (red) or upregulated (green) in hearts from HFD-fed animals compared to hearts from animals fed with STD. (**C**) PCA score plots from hearts of STD- and HFD-fed animals.

2.2. Lipidomics Reveals Myocardial Lipid Composition in HFD-Fed Mice

Putative identification of the metabolites differentially found between both groups classified 66 of them in more than one lipid family, so they were excluded in the following analyses. Of the 122 metabolites classified in a single family, 61 (~50.00%) were TG and 8 (6.56%) were DG. An amount of 4.92% of total Cer were identified (including three ceramides, one ceramide phosphate (Cer-P), and two glucoceramides (GlcCer)), as well as a 2.46% of sphingomyelins (SM). A total of 17.21% were identified as phospholipids (including one phosphatidic acid (PA), four phosphatidylcholines (PC), seven phosphatidylglycerols (PG), four phosphatidylinositols (PI), and five phosphatidylserines (PS)) and 0.82% as sterols. Finally, 22 compounds (18.03%) were classified as others lipid species (Figure 2A). Taken together, the total amount of TG was up-regulated in the heart from HFD-fed animals compared to animals fed with STD (Figure 2B). However, whereas most of the TG were up-regulated, nine of them were found down-regulated in the hearts of animals fed with HFD. No changes were found in the total amount of myocardial DG (Figure 2B), but half of them were up-regulated and the other half were down-regulated in the hearts of the HFD-fed animals, whereas Cer were down-regulated in the HFD-fed animals, both Cer-P and GlcCer, as well as SM, were up-regulated in the hearts from animals fed with the HFD (Figure 2B). HFD reduced myocardial PA, PG, and most PS and induced most of the PI (Figure 2B). Although most of the PC were down-regulated in hearts from the HFD-fed animals, no changes were found in the total amount of PC between both groups (Figure 2B). Myocardial sterol levels were up-regulated by the HFD, and the total amount of others lipid species were down-regulated in hearts from the HFD-fed animals (Figure 2B).



Figure 2. Family lipid profile in hearts from HFD-fed mice. (**A**) Pie chart of the lipid class identified in hearts from HFD-mice. (**B**) Changes in total signal of the different lipid species in hearts from HFD-fed mice compared with mice fed with a STD. TG: triacylglycerols; DG: diacylglycerols; Cer: ceramides; Cer-P ceramide phosphate; GlcCer; glucoceramides; SM: sphingomyelins; PA: phosphatidic acid; PC: phosphatidylcholines; PG: phosphatidylglycerols; PI: phosphatidylinositols; PS: phosphatidylserines. Data are expressed as the mean \pm SEM (* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001 vs. STD-fed mice).

Targeted MS/MS analysis identified a total of 63 lipids, including 48 TG, 5 DG, 1 SM, 3 PC, 1 DihydroPC, and 5 carnitines (Table 1). The myocardial lipid profile obtained by LC/MS was further validated using LDI-MS tissue imaging. Following this approach, 10 of these 63 lipids were further identified in heart cross sections via LDI-MS tissue imaging (Figure 3).

641.5107

639.4951

DG (36:3)

DG (36:4)

n/z Metabolites Ion Formula Fold p TG 336.7700 Mix TG (49:1) NH4* $C_{52}H_{98}O_6$ 16.37 808.7379 Mix TG (47:1) NH4* $C_{59}H_{96}O_6$ 12.45 834.7538 Mix TG (51:2) NH4* $C_{59}H_{96}O_6$ 12.37 862.7855 Mix TG (51:2) NH4* $C_{49}H_{90}O_6$ 2.21 740.6752 Mix TG (42:0) NH4* $C_{49}H_{90}O_6$ 9.94 780.7065 Mix TG (45:1) NH4* $C_{49}H_{90}O_6$ 9.61 768.7070 Mix TG (53:1) NH4* $C_{56}H_{90}O_6$ 7.63 890.8169 Mix TG (53:2) NH4* $C_{56}H_{90}O_6$ 7.63 890.8169 Mix TG (0-51:4); O NH4* $C_{56}H_{90}O_6$ 5.33 712.6440 Mix TG (40:0) NH4* $C_{56}H_{90}O_6$ 5.18 810.7533 Mix TG (45:2) NH4* $C_{49}H_{90}O_6$ 4.36 778.6904 Mix TG (45:0) NH4* $C_{49}H_{90}O_6$ 4.36 <	-Value 0.026 0.030 0.023 0.021 0.042 0.029 0.037 0.003 0.026 0.018 0.024 0.006
TG 836.7700 Mix TG (49:1) NH4 ⁺ $C_{52}H_{90}O_{6}$ 16.37 808.7379 Mix TG (47:1) NH4 ⁺ $C_{50}H_{94}O_{6}$ 12.94 864.8008 Mix TG (51:1) NH4 ⁺ $C_{52}H_{96}O_{6}$ 12.37 862.7855 Mix TG (51:2) NH4 ⁺ $C_{54}H_{102}O_{6}$ 9.94 740.6752 Mix TG (42:0) NH4 ⁺ $C_{48}H_{80}O_{6}$ 9.94 780.7065 Mix TG (42:0) NH4 ⁺ $C_{48}H_{90}O_{6}$ 9.61 788.7070 Mix TG (45:1) NH4 ⁺ $C_{56}H_{90}O_{6}$ 7.63 887.7088 TG (53:0) NA ⁺ $C_{56}H_{90}O_{6}$ 7.63 890.8169 Mix TG (53:2) NH4 ⁺ $C_{56}H_{90}O_{6}$ 7.63 890.8169 Mix TG (0.51:4); O NH4 ⁺ $C_{54}H_{92}O_{5}$ 5.42 810.7503 Mix TG (40:0) NH4 ⁺ $C_{54}H_{92}O_{6}$ 5.18 885.6826 Mix TG (42:0) NH4 ⁺ $C_{54}H_{92}O_{6}$ 5.18 796.7386 Mix TG (42:0) NH4 ⁺ $C_{49}H_{92}O_{6}$ 4.22 838.7822 Mix TG (42:0	0.026 0.030 0.023 0.032 0.021 0.042 0.029 0.037 0.003 0.026 0.018 0.024 0.006
	0.026 0.030 0.023 0.032 0.021 0.042 0.029 0.037 0.003 0.026 0.018 0.024 0.006
808.7379 Mix TG (47:1) NH4* $C_{34}H_{40}O_6$ 12.94 864.8008 Mix TG (47:1) NH4* $C_{34}H_{40}O_6$ 12.45 834.7538 Mix TG (49:2) NH4* $C_{32}H_{40}O_6$ 12.27 7862.7855 Mix TG (42:0) NH4* $C_{34}H_{40}O_6$ 9.21 780.7065 Mix TG (42:0) NH4* $C_{45}H_{40}O_6$ 9.61 788.7070 Mix TG (45:1) NH4* $C_{47}H_{40}O_6$ 8.15 877.7088 TG (53:6) Na* $C_{56}H_{106}O_6$ 7.53 890.8169 Mix TG (53:2) NH4* $C_{56}H_{104}O_6$ 7.13 800.7692 Mix TG (47:0) NH4* $C_{56}H_{104}O_6$ 7.33 800.7692 Mix TG (47:0) NH4* $C_{56}H_{102}O_6$ 5.33 712.6440 Mix TG (47:0) NH4* $C_{59}H_{50}O_6$ 5.33 712.6440 Mix TG (45:2) NH4* $C_{59}H_{50}O_6$ 5.33 778.6904 Mix TG (45:0) NH4* $C_{49}H_{80}O_6$ 4.36 778.6904 Mix TG (45:0) NH4* $C_{49}H_{80}O_6$ 3.96	0.030 0.023 0.032 0.021 0.042 0.029 0.037 0.003 0.026 0.018 0.024 0.006
	0.023 0.032 0.021 0.042 0.029 0.037 0.003 0.026 0.018 0.024 0.006
834.7538Mix TG (49:2)NH4* $C_{52}H_{96}O_{6}$ 12.37862.7855Mix TG (51:2)NH4* $C_{52}H_{96}O_{6}$ 12.21740.6752Mix TG (42:0)NH4* $C_{48}H_{96}O_{6}$ 9.94780.7065Mix TG (44:0)NH4* $C_{48}H_{90}O_{6}$ 9.61768.7070Mix TG (44:0)NH4* $C_{56}H_{90}O_{6}$ 7.6387.7088TG (53:6)Na* $C_{56}H_{90}O_{6}$ 7.63892.8326Mix TG (53:1)NH4* $C_{56}H_{106}O_{6}$ 7.13800.7692Mix TG (53:2)NH4* $C_{56}H_{106}O_{6}$ 7.13800.7692Mix TG (0-51:4); ONH4* $C_{56}H_{102}O_{6}$ 5.33712.6440Mix TG (47:0)NH4* $C_{56}H_{102}O_{6}$ 5.18888.8010Mix TG (47:0)NH4* $C_{56}H_{102}O_{6}$ 5.09796.7386Mix TG (45:2)NH4* $C_{56}H_{102}O_{6}$ 5.09796.7386Mix TG (45:2)NH4* $C_{58}H_{102}O_{6}$ 4.02885.7814TG (O-54:7)Na* $C_{57}H_{98}O_{6}$ 4.02885.7314TG (O-54:7)Na* $C_{58}H_{100}O_{6}$ 3.98915.7406Mix TG (55:7)Na* $C_{58}H_{100}O_{6}$ 3.98915.7406Mix TG (55:7)Na* $C_{58}H_{100}O_{6}$ 3.94913.7245Mix TG (55:7)Na* $C_{58}H_{100}O_{6}$ 3.99913.7245Mix TG (0-54:6); ONH4* $C_{58}H_{100}O_{6}$ 3.99913.7245Mix TG (0-55:7); ONH4* $C_{58}H_{100}O_{6}$	0.032 0.021 0.042 0.029 0.037 0.003 0.026 0.018 0.024 0.006
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.021 0.042 0.029 0.037 0.003 0.026 0.018 0.024 0.006
740.6752Mix TG (42:0)NH4+C45H86O69.94780.7065Mix TG (45:1)NH4+C47H80O69.61768.7070Mix TG (44:0)NH4+C47H80O68.15887.7088TG (53:6)Na*C56H96O67.63892.8326Mix TG (53:1)NH4+C56H106O67.53890.8169Mix TG (53:2)NH4+C54H96O67.13860.7692Mix TG (0-51:4): ONH4+C54H96O65.33712.6440Mix TG (0-52:8)Na*C59H92O55.42810.7533Mix TG (47:0)NH4+C49H82O65.09796.7386Mix TG (46:0)NH4+C49H82O65.09796.7386Mix TG (46:0)NH4+C49H94O64.36778.6904Mix TG (45:2)NH4+C49H88O64.22838.7552Mix TG (43:0)NH4+C57H98O53.98915.7406Mix TG (55:6)Na*C58H00063.96913.7245Mix TG (55:7)Na*C57H98O63.13913.7245Mix TG (55:7)Na*C58H00063.13910.7853Mix TG (0-554:6): ONH4+C48H8063.13910.7853Mix TG (0-557): ONH4+C58H100O63.04916.8320Mix TG (0-557): ONH4+C58H100O63.04916.8320Mix TG (0-557): ONH4+C58H100O63.04916.8320Mix TG (0-557): ONH4+C58H100O62.59895.555Mix TG (0-557): ONH4+C58H100O62.64 <td< td=""><td>0.042 0.029 0.037 0.003 0.026 0.018 0.024 0.006</td></td<>	0.042 0.029 0.037 0.003 0.026 0.018 0.024 0.006
780.7065Mix TG (45:1) NH_4^+ $C_{48}H_{90}O_6$ 9.61768.7070Mix TG (44:0) NH_4^+ $C_47H_{90}O_6$ 8.15887.7088TG (53:6) Na^+ $C_56H_{96}O_6$ 7.63892.8326Mix TG (53:1) NH_4^+ $C_56H_{106}O_6$ 7.13800.7692Mix TG (53:2) NH_4^+ $C_54H_{98}O_6$ 7.08855.6826Mix TG (0-51:4): O NH_4^+ $C_{54}H_{98}O_6$ 5.33712.6440Mix TG (47:0) NH_4^+ $C_{50}H_{90}O_6$ 5.33712.6440Mix TG (40:0) NH_4^+ $C_{49}H_{92}O_6$ 5.18888.8010Mix TG (40:0) NH_4^+ $C_{49}H_{94}O_6$ 4.36778.6904Mix TG (45:2) NH_4^+ $C_{49}H_{94}O_6$ 4.36778.6908Mix TG (42:0) NH_4^+ $C_{49}H_{98}O_6$ 4.02885.7314TG (0-54:7) Na^+ $C_{57}H_{96}O_5$ 3.98915.7406Mix TG (55:6) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 3.83782.7220Mix TG (55:7) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{96}O_6$ 3.13910.7853Mix TG (0-54:6): O NH_4^+ $C_{49}H_{92}O_6$ 3.69983.7864Mix TG (0-55:7): O NH_4^+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 3.04910.7853Mix TG (51:0) NH_4^+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 3.13910.7853Mix TG (0-55:7): O NH_4^+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 2.99933.7564Mix TG (0-55:6): O NH_4^+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 2.64912.7994Mix TG (0-55:6): O	0.029 0.037 0.003 0.026 0.018 0.024 0.006
768.7070Mix TG (44:0) NH_4^{+} $C_4^{-}H_{90}O_6$ 8.15887.7088TG (53:6) Na^+ $C_{56}H_{106}O_6$ 7.63892.8326Mix TG (53:1) NH_4^+ $C_{56}H_{106}O_6$ 7.53890.8169Mix TG (53:2) NH_4^+ $C_{56}H_{104}O_6$ 7.13860.7692Mix TG (0-51:4); O NH_4^+ $C_{53}H_{92}O_5$ 5.42810.7533Mix TG (0-52:8) Na^+ $C_{53}H_{92}O_5$ 5.42810.7533Mix TG (40:0) NH_4^+ $C_{43}H_{42}O_6$ 5.18888.8010Mix TG (40:0) NH_4^+ $C_{43}H_{42}O_6$ 5.18888.8010Mix TG (46:0) NH_4^+ $C_{49}H_{44}O_6$ 4.36778.6904Mix TG (45:2) NH_4^+ $C_{49}H_{49}O_6$ 4.03754.6908Mix TG (43:0) NH_4^+ $C_{48}H_{88}O_6$ 4.02885.7314TG (Co-54:7) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{90}O_6$ 3.96915.7406Mix TG (55:7) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{98}O_6$ 3.83782.7220Mix TG (55:7) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{98}O_6$ 3.69988.7885Mix TG (0-54:6); O NH_4^+ $C_{58}H_{108}O_6$ 3.13910.7853Mix TG (55:2) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{108}O_6$ 3.13910.7853Mix TG (55:2) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{108}O_6$ 3.13910.7853Mix TG (55:2) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{108}O_6$ 3.29893.7564Mix TG (55:2) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{108}O_6$ 2.99893.7564Mix TG (55:6); O NH_4^+ <td>0.037 0.003 0.026 0.018 0.024 0.006</td>	0.037 0.003 0.026 0.018 0.024 0.006
887.7088TG (53:6) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{96}O_6$ 7.63892.8326Mix TG (53:1) NH_4^+ $C_{58}H_{106}O_6$ 7.53890.8169Mix TG (55:2) NH_4^+ $C_{54}H_{96}O_6$ 7.13860.7692Mix TG (0-51:4): O NH_4^+ $C_{54}H_{98}O_6$ 7.08855.6826Mix TG (0-52:8) Na^+ $C_{55}H_{92}O_5$ 5.42810.7533Mix TG (47:0) NH_4^+ $C_{43}H_{82}O_6$ 5.18888.8010Mix TG (40:0) NH_4^+ $C_{43}H_{22}O_6$ 5.18888.8010Mix TG (46:0) NH_4^+ $C_{48}H_{20}O_6$ 4.36778.6904Mix TG (45:2) NH_4^+ $C_{48}H_{20}O_6$ 4.33754.6908Mix TG (43:0) NH_4^+ $C_{52}H_{100}O_6$ 4.03754.6908Mix TG (55:6) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 3.96915.7406Mix TG (55:7) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{92}O_6$ 3.69913.7245Mix TG (55:7) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{92}O_6$ 3.69923.8039Mix TG (0-54:7) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 3.29923.8039Mix TG (0-55:2) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 3.04916.8320Mix TG (55:2) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 3.04916.8320Mix TG (55:3) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{102}O_6$ 2.81951.8339Mix TG (57:2) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{102}O_6$ 2.81951.8339Mix TG (55:0) NH_4^+ $C_{58}H_{102}O_6$ 2.54943.7564Mix TG (0-55:6); O NH_4^+	0.003 0.026 0.018 0.024 0.006
892.8326 Mix TG (53:1) NH_4^+ $C_{56}H_{106}O_6$ 7.53 890.8169 Mix TG (53:2) NH_4^+ $C_{56}H_{104}O_6$ 7.13 860.7692 Mix TG (0.51:4); O NH_4^+ $C_{56}H_{104}O_6$ 7.13 810.7533 Mix TG (0.52:8) Na^+ $C_{50}H_{92}O_5$ 5.42 810.7533 Mix TG (40:0) NH_4^+ $C_{50}H_{90}O_6$ 5.33 712.6440 Mix TG (40:0) NH_4^+ $C_{43}H_{82}O_6$ 5.18 888.8010 Mix TG (46:0) NH_4^+ $C_{43}H_{82}O_6$ 5.09 796.7386 Mix TG (45:2) NH_4^+ $C_{46}H_{88}O_6$ 4.22 838.7852 Mix TG (45:0) NH_4^+ $C_{46}H_{88}O_6$ 4.02 885.7314 TG (0-54:7) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{98}O_5$ 3.98 915.7406 Mix TG (55:6) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{98}O_6$ 3.83 782.7220 Mix TG (55:7) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{98}O_6$ 3.69 913.7245 Mix TG (0-55:4); O NH_4^+ $C_{38}H_{100}O_6$ 3.29 923.8039 Mix TG (55:7) Na^+ <	0.026 0.018 0.024 0.006
890.8169Mix TG (53.2) NH_4^+ $C_{56}H_{104}O_6$ 7.13860.7692Mix TG (0-51:4); O NH_4^+ $C_{54}H_{98}O_6$ 7.08855.6826Mix TG (0-52:8) Na^+ $C_{55}H_{92}O_5$ 5.42810.7533Mix TG (47:0) NH_4^+ $C_{55}H_{92}O_6$ 5.33712.6440Mix TG (40:0) NH_4^+ $C_{43}H_{82}O_6$ 5.18888.8010Mix TG (40:0) NH_4^+ $C_{49}H_{94}O_6$ 4.36778.6904Mix TG (45:2) NH_4^+ $C_{49}H_{94}O_6$ 4.36778.6904Mix TG (49:0) NH_4^+ $C_{42}H_{100}O_6$ 4.03754.6908Mix TG (49:0) NH_4^+ $C_{52}H_{100}O_6$ 3.96913.7245Mix TG (55:6) Na^+ $C_{57}H_{98}O_5$ 3.98915.7406Mix TG (55:6) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 3.69913.7245Mix TG (55:7) NH_4^+ $C_{48}H_{92}O_6$ 3.69923.8039Mix TG (0-54:6); O NH_4^+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 3.29923.8039Mix TG (0-55:2) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 3.04916.8320Mix TG (55:2) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 2.99893.7564Mix TG (57:2) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 2.54843.7401Mix TG (51:0) NH_4^+ $C_{58}H_{102}O_6$ 2.54843.7401Mix TG (51:0) NH_4^+ $C_{52}H_{100}O_6$ 2.54865.55Mix TG (51:0) NH_4^+ $C_{52}H_{100}O_6$ 2.54865.55Mix TG (51:0) NH_4	0.018 0.024 0.006
860.7692Mix TG (O-51:4); ONH4+C54H38O67.08855.6826Mix TG (O-52:8)Na*C55H92O55.42810.7533Mix TG (47:0)NH4*C50H96O65.33712.6440Mix TG (40:0)NH4*C56H102O65.09976.7386Mix TG (46:0)NH4*C49H34O64.36778.6904Mix TG (45:2)NH4*C49H34O64.36778.6904Mix TG (49:0)NH4*C49H34O64.03754.6908Mix TG (49:0)NH4*C57H98O53.98915.7406Mix TG (55:6)Na*C57H98O53.98915.7406Mix TG (55:6)Na*C57H98O53.98915.7406Mix TG (55:7)Na*C57H98O63.83782.7220Mix TG (55:7)Na*C57H98O63.13910.7853Mix TG (0-54:7)Na*C57H98O63.13910.7853Mix TG (0-55:7)Na*C58H98O63.13910.7853Mix TG (0-55:7)Na*C58H98O63.13910.7853Mix TG (0-55:7)Na*C58H100O63.04916.8320Mix TG (0-55:7)Na*C58H100O63.04916.8320Mix TG (0-55:7)N4*C58H100O62.99893.7564Mix TG (53:3)Na*C56H112O62.81911.7247Mix TG (0-55:6); ONH4*C58H100O62.53901.7247Mix TG (0-55:6); ONH4*C59H100O62.53901.7247Mix TG (0-55:6); ONH4*C59H100O52.41<	0.024 0.006
855.6826Mix TG (0-52)Na* $C_{35}H_{92}O_{5}$ 5.42810.7533Mix TG (47:0)NH4* $C_{35}H_{92}O_{5}$ 5.42810.7533Mix TG (40:0)NH4* $C_{30}H_{96}O_{6}$ 5.33712.6440Mix TG (40:0)NH4* $C_{43}H_{82}O_{6}$ 5.18888.8010Mix TG (0-53:4); ONH4* $C_{43}H_{82}O_{6}$ 5.09796.7386Mix TG (46:0)NH4* $C_{49}H_{94}O_{6}$ 4.36778.6904Mix TG (45:2)NH4* $C_{49}H_{94}O_{6}$ 4.03754.6908Mix TG (49:0)NH4* $C_{52}H_{100}O_{6}$ 4.02885.7314TG (0-54:7)Na* $C_{57}H_{98}O_{5}$ 3.98915.7406Mix TG (55:6)Na* $C_{58}H_{100}O_{6}$ 3.96913.7245Mix TG (55:7)Na* $C_{58}H_{100}O_{6}$ 3.29923.8039Mix TG (0-54:6); ONH4* $C_{58}H_{100}O_{6}$ 3.04910.7853Mix TG (0-55:7); ONH4* $C_{58}H_{100}O_{6}$ 3.04916.8320Mix TG (55:2)Na* $C_{60}H_{112}O_{6}$ 2.81951.8339Mix TG (57:2)Na* $C_{60}H_{112}O_{6}$ 2.64912.7994Mix TG (0-55:6); ONH4* $C_{57}H_{98}O_{6}$ 2.53901.7247Mix TG (51:0)NH4* $C_{57}H_{90}O_{6}$ 2.53901.7247Mix TG (51:0)NH4* $C_{57}H_{90}O_{6}$ 2.54843.7401Mix TG (0-54:6)Na* $C_{57}H_{90}O_{6}$ 2.53901.7247Mix TG (51:0)NH4* $C_{59}H_{10}O_{6}$	0.006
810.7533Mix TG (47:0)NH4 + $C_{50}H_{9}O_{6}$ 5.33712.6440Mix TG (40:0)NH4 + $C_{50}H_{9}O_{6}$ 5.18888.8010Mix TG (0-53:4); ONH4 + $C_{43}H_{82}O_{6}$ 5.18888.8010Mix TG (46:0)NH4 + $C_{49}H_{94}O_{6}$ 4.36778.6904Mix TG (45:2)NH4 + $C_{48}H_{88}O_{6}$ 4.22838.7852Mix TG (49:0)NH4 + $C_{42}H_{100}O_{6}$ 4.03754.6908Mix TG (43:0)NH4 + $C_{46}H_{88}O_{6}$ 4.02885.7314TG (0-54:7)Na + $C_{57}H_{98}O_{5}$ 3.98915.7406Mix TG (55:6)Na + $C_{58}H_{100}O_{6}$ 3.96913.7245Mix TG (55:7)Na + $C_{58}H_{100}O_{6}$ 3.96913.7245Mix TG (0-54:6); ONH4 + $C_{48}H_{92}O_{6}$ 3.69898.7885Mix TG (0-55:4); ONH4 + $C_{58}H_{100}O_{6}$ 3.13910.7853Mix TG (0-55:7); ONH4 + $C_{58}H_{100}O_{6}$ 3.04916.8320Mix TG (0-55:4); ONH4 + $C_{58}H_{102}O_{6}$ 2.81951.8339Mix TG (0-55:6); ONH4 + $C_{59}H_{102}O_{6}$ 2.53901.7247Mix TG (51:0)NH4 + $C_{57}H_{98}O_{6}$ 2.50866.8155Mix TG (51:0)NH4 + $C_{57}H_{90}O_{6}$ 2.51901.7247Mix TG (54:6)Na + $C_{57}H_{90}O_{6}$ 2.51901.7247Mix TG (51:0)NH4 + $C_{54}H_{10}O_{6}$ 2.5186.8155Mix TG (38:0)Na +<	
712.6440Mix TG (40:0) MH_4^+ $C_{30}H_{990}$ 5.05712.6440Mix TG (40:0) NH_4^+ $C_{36}H_{102}O_6$ 5.18888.8010Mix TG (40:0) NH_4^+ $C_{36}H_{102}O_6$ 5.09796.7386Mix TG (46:0) NH_4^+ $C_{49}H_{94}O_6$ 4.36778.6904Mix TG (45:2) NH_4^+ $C_{48}H_{88}O_6$ 4.22838.7852Mix TG (49:0) NH_4^+ $C_{52}H_{100}O_6$ 4.03754.6908Mix TG (43:0) NH_4^+ $C_{46}H_{88}O_6$ 4.02885.7314TG (0-54:7) Na^+ $C_{57}H_{98}O_5$ 3.98915.7406Mix TG (55:6) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 3.83782.7220Mix TG (55:7) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{98}O_6$ 3.83782.7220Mix TG (55:2) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 3.04910.7853Mix TG (55:2) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 3.04910.7853Mix TG (55:3) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 2.99893.7564Mix TG (55:3) Na^+ $C_{56}H_{102}O_6$ 2.81951.8339Mix TG (0-55:6); O NH_4^+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 2.64912.7994Mix TG (0-55:6); O NH_4^+ $C_{57}H_{98}O_6$ 2.53901.7247Mix TG (51:0) NH_4^+ $C_{57}H_{98}O_6$ 2.50866.8155Mix TG (51:0) NH_4^+ $C_{57}H_{100}O_6$ 2.51860.8155Mix TG (0-54:6) Na^+ $C_{57}H_{100}O_6$ 2.41887.7463Mix TG (0-54:6) Na^+ <td>0.014</td>	0.014
Nik TGNik	0.036
796.7386Mix TG (46:0)NH4+ $C_{49}H_{94}O_{6}$ 4.36778.6904Mix TG (45:2)NH4+ $C_{49}H_{94}O_{6}$ 4.36778.6904Mix TG (45:2)NH4+ $C_{48}H_{88}O_{6}$ 4.22838.7852Mix TG (49:0)NH4+ $C_{52}H_{100}O_{6}$ 4.03754.6908Mix TG (43:0)NH4+ $C_{46}H_{88}O_{6}$ 4.02885.7314TG(O-54:7)Na+ $C_{57}H_{98}O_{5}$ 3.98915.7406Mix TG (55:6)Na+ $C_{57}H_{98}O_{6}$ 3.83782.7220Mix TG (55:7)Na+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_{6}$ 3.29923.8039Mix TG (0-54:6); ONH4+ $C_{48}H_{92}O_{6}$ 3.69992.7855Mix TG (0-55:7); ONH4+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_{6}$ 3.13910.7853Mix TG (0-55:7); ONH4+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_{6}$ 3.04916.8320Mix TG (0-55:4); ONH4+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_{6}$ 2.99893.7564Mix TG (53:3)Na+ $C_{50}H_{102}O_{6}$ 2.81951.8339Mix TG (0-55:6); ONH4+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_{6}$ 2.53901.7247Mix TG (0-49:1); ONa+ $C_{52}H_{100}O_{6}$ 2.53901.7247Mix TG (51:0)NH4+ $C_{54}H_{104}O_{6}$ 2.41887.7463Mix TG (0-54:6)Na+ $C_{57}H_{100}O_{5}$ 2.41689.5683Mix TG (38:0)Na+ $C_{57}H_{100}O_{5}$ 2.41689.5683Mix TG (0-57:8); ONH4+ $C_{57}H_{100}O_{5}$ 2.41689.5683Mix TG (0-57:8); ONH4+ <td>0.026</td>	0.026
778.6904Mix TG (45:0) $M44^+$ $C4_{9}M_{9}C_{6}$ 180 778.6904Mix TG (45:2) NH_4^+ $C_{49}H_{88}C_{6}$ 4.22 838.7852Mix TG (49:0) NH_4^+ $C_{52}H_{100}C_{6}$ 4.03 754.6908Mix TG (43:0) NH_4^+ $C_{46}H_{88}C_{6}$ 4.02 885.7314TG(O-54:7) Na^+ $C_{57}H_{98}C_{5}$ 3.98 915.7406Mix TG (55:6) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{100}C_{6}$ 3.96 913.7245Mix TG (55:7) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{98}C_{6}$ 3.83 782.7220Mix TG (45:0) NH_4^+ $C_{48}H_{92}C_{6}$ 3.69 898.7885Mix TG (0-54:6); O NH_4^+ $C_{57}H_{100}C_{6}$ 3.29 923.8039Mix TG (055:2) Na^+ $C_{58}H_{108}C_{6}$ 3.13 910.7853Mix TG (0-55:7); O NH_4^+ $C_{58}H_{100}C_{6}$ 2.99 893.7564Mix TG (53:3) Na^+ $C_{60}H_{112}C_{6}$ 2.64 912.7994Mix TG (0-55:6); O NH_4^+ $C_{58}H_{100}C_{6}$ 2.53 901.7247Mix TG (54:6) Na^+ $C_{57}H_{98}C_{6}$ 2.50 866.8155Mix TG (51:0) NH_4^+ $C_{57}H_{98}C_{6}$ 2.50 866.8155Mix TG (0-54:6) Na^+ $C_{57}H_{98}C_{6}$ 2.50 866.8155Mix TG (38:0) Na^+ $C_{57}H_{100}O_{5}$ 2.41 887.7463Mix TG (38:0) Na^+ $C_{57}H_{100}O_{5}$ 2.41 689.5683Mix TG (38:0) Na^+ $C_{41}H_{78}C_{6}$	0.041
770:0004Mix TG (602)NH4C49188064.22838.7852Mix TG (49:0)NH4+C52H100064.03754.6908Mix TG (43:0)NH4+C46H88064.02885.7314TG(O-54:7)Na+C57H98053.98915.7406Mix TG (55:6)Na+C58H100063.96913.7245Mix TG (55:7)Na+C58H98063.83782.7220Mix TG (45:0)NH4+C48H92063.69898.7885Mix TG (0-54:6); ONH4+C57H100063.29923.8039Mix TG (05:2)Na+C58H100063.04916.8320Mix TG (0-55:7); ONH4+C58H100062.99893.7564Mix TG (0-55:4); ONH4+C58H100062.99893.7564Mix TG (0-55:4); ONH4+C56H102062.81911.8339Mix TG (0-55:6); ONH4+C56H102062.54843.7401Mix TG (0-55:6); ONH4+C57H98062.53901.7247Mix TG (51:0)NH4+C57H98062.50866.8155Mix TG (51:0)NH4+C57H98062.50866.8155Mix TG (0-54:6)Na+C57H98062.50866.8155Mix TG (0-54:6)Na+C57H98062.39936.683Mix TG (38:0)Na+C40H178062.41887.7463Mix TG (0-54:6)Na+C57H100052.41689.5683Mix TG (38:0)Na+C40H178062.39936.8010Mix TG (0-57:8): ONH4+C60H172062.28 </td <td>0.030</td>	0.030
754.6908 Mix TG ($43:0$)NH4+ $C_{46}H_{88}O_6$ 4.02 885.7314 TG($0-54:7$)Na+ $C_{57}H_{98}O_5$ 3.98 915.7406 Mix TG ($55:6$)Na+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 3.96 913.7245 Mix TG ($55:7$)Na+ $C_{58}H_{98}O_6$ 3.83 782.7220 Mix TG ($45:0$)NH4+ $C_{48}H_{92}O_6$ 3.69 898.7885 Mix TG ($0-54:6$);ONH4+ $C_{57}H_{100}O_6$ 3.29 923.8039 Mix TG ($55:2$)Na+ $C_{58}H_{108}O_6$ 3.13 910.7853 Mix TG ($0-55:7$);ONH4+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 3.04 916.8320 Mix TG ($0-55:4$);ONH4+ $C_{58}H_{102}O_6$ 2.81 951.8339 Mix TG ($57:2$)Na+ $C_{60}H_{112}O_6$ 2.64 912.7994 Mix TG ($0-55:6$);ONH4+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 2.53 901.7247 Mix TG ($51:0$)NH4+ $C_{57}H_{98}O_6$ 2.50 866.8155 Mix TG ($51:0$)NH4+ $C_{57}H_{98}O_6$ 2.50 866.8155 Mix TG ($0-54:6$)Na+ $C_{57}H_{100}O_6$ 2.41 887.7463 Mix TG ($38:0$)Na+ $C_{41}H_{78}O_6$ 2.39 936.8010 Mix TG ($0-57:8$); ONH4+ $C_{50}H_{102}O_6$ 2.41	0.050
754.000Mix TG (45.0)Nut 4C46.1880.64.02885.7314TG(0-54:7)Na ⁺ C57H98053.98915.7406Mix TG (55:6)Na ⁺ C58H100063.96913.7245Mix TG (55:7)Na ⁺ C58H98063.83782.7220Mix TG (45:0)NH4 ⁺ C48H92063.69898.7885Mix TG (0-54:6); ONH4 ⁺ C57H100063.29923.8039Mix TG (55:2)Na ⁺ C58H108063.13910.7853Mix TG (55:7); ONH4 ⁺ C58H100063.04916.8320Mix TG (0-55:7); ONH4 ⁺ C58H100062.99893.7564Mix TG (53:3)Na ⁺ C56H102062.81951.8339Mix TG (57:2)Na ⁺ C56H102062.54912.7994Mix TG (0-55:6); ONH4 ⁺ C58H100062.53901.7247Mix TG (0-55:6); ONH4 ⁺ C58H102062.53901.7247Mix TG (0-54:6)Na ⁺ C57H98062.50866.8155Mix TG (51:0)NH4 ⁺ C54H104062.41887.7463Mix TG (0-54:6)Na ⁺ C57H98062.50866.8155Mix TG (0-54:6)Na ⁺ C57H98062.50866.8155Mix TG (0-54:6)Na ⁺ C57H100052.41689.5683Mix TG (0-54:6)Na ⁺ C57H100052.41689.5683Mix TG (08:0)Na ⁺ C41H78062.39936.8010Mix TG (0-57:8): ONH4 ⁺⁺ C60H102062.28	0.010
303.7314 $130(0-94.7)$ $18a$ $C_{57}1498O_5$ 3.96 915.7406 Mix TG (55:6)Na ⁺ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 3.96 913.7245 Mix TG (55:7)Na ⁺ $C_{58}H_{98}O_6$ 3.83 782.7220 Mix TG (45:0)NH4 ⁺ $C_{48}H_{92}O_6$ 3.69 898.7885 Mix TG (0-54:6); ONH4 ⁺ $C_{57}H_{100}O_6$ 3.29 923.8039 Mix TG (55:2)Na ⁺ $C_{58}H_{108}O_6$ 3.13 910.7853 Mix TG (0-55:7); ONH4 ⁺ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 3.04 916.8320 Mix TG (0-55:4); ONH4 ⁺ $C_{58}H_{102}O_6$ 2.99 893.7564 Mix TG (53:3)Na ⁺ $C_{60}H_{112}O_6$ 2.81 951.8339 Mix TG (57:2)Na ⁺ $C_{60}H_{112}O_6$ 2.54 843.7401 Mix TG (0-55:6); ONH4 ⁺ $C_{57}H_{98}O_6$ 2.50 866.8155 Mix TG (51:0)NH4 ⁺ $C_{57}H_{98}O_6$ 2.50 866.8155 Mix TG (51:0)NH4 ⁺ $C_{57}H_{100}O_5$ 2.41 887.7463 Mix TG (0-54:6)Na ⁺ $C_{57}H_{100}O_5$ 2.41 887.7463 Mix TG (38:0)Na ⁺ $C_{41}H_{78}O_6$ 2.39 936.8010 Mix TG (0-57:8); ONH4 ⁺ $C_{41}H_{78}O_6$ 2.28	0.029
913.7400INIX FG (53.0)INA $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 3.96 913.7245Mix TG (55:7)Na ⁺ $C_{58}H_{98}O_6$ 3.83 782.7220Mix TG (45:0)NH4 ⁺⁺ $C_{48}H_{92}O_6$ 3.69 898.7885Mix TG (0-54:6); ONH4 ⁺⁺ $C_{57}H_{100}O_6$ 3.29 923.8039Mix TG (55:2)Na ⁺⁺ $C_{58}H_{108}O_6$ 3.13 910.7853Mix TG (0-55:7); ONH4 ⁺⁺ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 3.04 916.8320Mix TG (0-55:4); ONH4 ⁺⁺ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 2.99 893.7564Mix TG (53:3)Na ⁺⁺ $C_{56}H_{102}O_6$ 2.81 951.8339Mix TG (57:2)Na ⁺⁺ $C_{60}H_{112}O_6$ 2.54 843.7401Mix TG (0-55:6); ONH4 ⁺⁺ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 2.53 901.7247Mix TG (54:6)Na ⁺⁺ $C_{57}H_{98}O_6$ 2.50 866.8155Mix TG (51:0)NH4 ⁺⁺ $C_{57}H_{98}O_6$ 2.50 866.8155Mix TG (0-54:6)Na ⁺⁺ $C_{57}H_{100}O_5$ 2.41 689.5683Mix TG (38:0)Na ⁺⁺ $C_{41}H_{78}O_6$ 2.39 936.8010Mix TG (0-57:8); ONH4 ⁺⁺ $C_{60}H_{102}O_6$ 2.28	0.005
913.7243Mix TG (35.7)Na $C_{58} H_{98} O_6$ 3.63 782.7220Mix TG (45:0)NH4+ $C_{48} H_{92} O_6$ 3.69 898.7885Mix TG (0-54:6); ONH4+ $C_{57} H_{100} O_6$ 3.29 923.8039Mix TG (55:2)Na+ $C_{58} H_{108} O_6$ 3.13 910.7853Mix TG (0-55:7); ONH4+ $C_{58} H_{100} O_6$ 3.04 916.8320Mix TG (0-55:4); ONH4+ $C_{58} H_{100} O_6$ 2.99 893.7564Mix TG (53:3)Na+ $C_{56} H_{102} O_6$ 2.81 951.8339Mix TG (57:2)Na+ $C_{60} H_{112} O_6$ 2.64 912.7994Mix TG (0-55:6); ONH4+ $C_{52} H_{100} O_6$ 2.53 901.7247Mix TG (0-49:1); ONa+ $C_{57} H_{98} O_6$ 2.50 866.8155Mix TG (51:0)NH4+ $C_{57} H_{90} O_6$ 2.41 887.7463Mix TG (0-54:6)Na+ $C_{57} H_{100} O_5$ 2.41 689.5683Mix TG (38:0)Na+ $C_{41} H_{78} O_6$ 2.39 936.8010Mix TG (0-57:8); ONH4+ $C_{60} H_{102} O_6$ 2.28	0.001
782.7220Mix TG (43.0)NH4 $C_{48}H_{92}O_6$ 3.09 898.7885Mix TG (0-54:6); ONH4+ $C_{57}H_{100}O_6$ 3.29 923.8039Mix TG (55:2)Na+ $C_{58}H_{108}O_6$ 3.13 910.7853Mix TG (0-55:7); ONH4+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 3.04 916.8320Mix TG (0-55:4); ONH4+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 2.99 893.7564Mix TG (53:3)Na+ $C_{56}H_{102}O_6$ 2.81 951.8339Mix TG (57:2)Na+ $C_{60}H_{112}O_6$ 2.64 912.7994Mix TG (0-55:6); ONH4+ $C_{52}H_{100}O_6$ 2.53 901.7247Mix TG (0-49:1); ONa+ $C_{57}H_{98}O_6$ 2.50 866.8155Mix TG (51:0)NH4+ $C_{57}H_{98}O_6$ 2.50 866.8155Mix TG (0-54:6)Na+ $C_{57}H_{100}O_5$ 2.41 689.5683Mix TG (0-54:6)Na+ $C_{57}H_{100}O_5$ 2.41 689.5683Mix TG (0-57:8); ONH4+ $C_{60}H_{102}O_6$ 2.39 936.8010Mix TG (0-57:8); ONH4+ $C_{61}H_{102}O_6$ 2.28	0.004
898.7885Mix TG (0-54.6), O NH_4 $C_{57}H_{100}O_6$ 3.29 923.8039Mix TG (55:2)Na ⁺ $C_{58}H_{108}O_6$ 3.13 910.7853Mix TG (0-55:7); O NH_4^+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 3.04 916.8320Mix TG (0-55:4); O NH_4^+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 2.99 893.7564Mix TG (53:3) Na^+ $C_{56}H_{102}O_6$ 2.81 951.8339Mix TG (57:2) Na^+ $C_{60}H_{112}O_6$ 2.64 912.7994Mix TG (0-55:6); O NH_4^+ $C_{52}H_{100}O_6$ 2.53 901.7247Mix TG (0-49:1); O Na^+ $C_{57}H_{98}O_6$ 2.50 866.8155Mix TG (51:0) NH_4^+ $C_{57}H_{98}O_6$ 2.41 887.7463Mix TG (0-54:6) Na^+ $C_{57}H_{100}O_5$ 2.41 689.5683Mix TG (38:0) Na^+ $C_{41}H_{78}O_6$ 2.39 936.8010Mix TG (0-57:8); O NH_4^+ $C_{60}H_{102}O_6$ 2.28	0.020
923.8039Mix TG (55:2)Na* $C_{58}H_{108}O_6$ 5.13910.7853Mix TG (O-55:7); ONH4* $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 3.04916.8320Mix TG (O-55:4); ONH4* $C_{58}H_{106}O_6$ 2.99893.7564Mix TG (53:3)Na* $C_{56}H_{102}O_6$ 2.81951.8339Mix TG (57:2)Na* $C_{60}H_{112}O_6$ 2.64912.7994Mix TG (0-55:6); ONH4* $C_{52}H_{100}O_6$ 2.53901.7247Mix TG (0-49:1); ONa* $C_{57}H_{98}O_6$ 2.50866.8155Mix TG (51:0)NH4* $C_{57}H_{98}O_6$ 2.41887.7463Mix TG (0-54:6)Na* $C_{57}H_{100}O_5$ 2.41689.5683Mix TG (38:0)Na* $C_{41}H_{78}O_6$ 2.39936.8010Mix TG (0-57:8); ONH4* $C_{41}H_{78}O_6$ 2.39	0.015
910.7853MiX IG (O-55:7); O NH_4 $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 3.04 916.8320Mix TG (O-55:4); O NH_4^+ $C_{58}H_{106}O_6$ 2.99 893.7564Mix TG (53:3) Na^+ $C_{56}H_{102}O_6$ 2.81 951.8339Mix TG (57:2) Na^+ $C_{60}H_{112}O_6$ 2.64 912.7994Mix TG (O-55:6); O NH_4^+ $C_{58}H_{100}O_6$ 2.53 901.7247Mix TG (0-49:1); O Na^+ $C_{57}H_{98}O_6$ 2.50 866.8155Mix TG (51:0) NH_4^+ $C_{57}H_{98}O_6$ 2.41 887.7463Mix TG (0-54:6) Na^+ $C_{57}H_{100}O_5$ 2.41 689.5683Mix TG (38:0) Na^+ $C_{41}H_{78}O_6$ 2.39 936.8010Mix TG (0-57:8); O NH_4^+ $C_{60}H_{102}O_6$ 2.28	0.014
916.8320Mix TG (0-55:4); O NH_4^+ $C_{58}H_{106}O_6$ 2.99893.7564Mix TG (53:3)Na ⁺ $C_{56}H_{102}O_6$ 2.81951.8339Mix TG (57:2)Na ⁺ $C_{60}H_{112}O_6$ 2.64912.7994Mix TG (0-55:6); O NH_4^+ $C_{58}H_{102}O_6$ 2.54843.7401Mix TG (0-49:1); ONa ⁺ $C_{52}H_{100}O_6$ 2.53901.7247Mix TG (54:6)Na ⁺ $C_{57}H_{98}O_6$ 2.50866.8155Mix TG (51:0) NH_4^+ $C_{54}H_{104}O_6$ 2.41887.7463Mix TG (0-54:6)Na ⁺ $C_{57}H_{100}O_5$ 2.41689.5683Mix TG (38:0)Na ⁺ $C_{41}H_{78}O_6$ 2.39936.8010Mix TG (0-57:8); O NH_4^+ $C_{60}H_{102}O_6$ 2.28	0.016
893.7564Mix IG (53:3)Na* $C_{56}H_{102}O_6$ 2.81951.8339Mix TG (57:2)Na* $C_{60}H_{112}O_6$ 2.64912.7994Mix TG (0-55:6); ONH4* $C_{58}H_{102}O_6$ 2.54843.7401Mix TG (0-49:1); ONa* $C_{52}H_{100}O_6$ 2.53901.7247Mix TG (54:6)Na* $C_{57}H_{98}O_6$ 2.50866.8155Mix TG (51:0)NH4* $C_{54}H_{104}O_6$ 2.41887.7463Mix TG (0-54:6)Na* $C_{57}H_{100}O_5$ 2.41689.5683Mix TG (38:0)Na* $C_{41}H_{78}O_6$ 2.39936.8010Mix TG (0-57:8); ONH4* $C_{60}H_{102}O_6$ 2.28	0.036
951.8339Mix IG (57:2)Na ⁺ $C_{60}H_{112}O_6$ 2.64912.7994Mix TG (0-55:6); ONH4 ⁺ $C_{58}H_{102}O_6$ 2.54843.7401Mix TG (0-49:1); ONa ⁺ $C_{52}H_{100}O_6$ 2.53901.7247Mix TG (54:6)Na ⁺ $C_{57}H_{98}O_6$ 2.50866.8155Mix TG (51:0)NH4 ⁺ $C_{54}H_{104}O_6$ 2.41887.7463Mix TG (0-54:6)Na ⁺ $C_{57}H_{100}O_5$ 2.41689.5683Mix TG (38:0)Na ⁺ $C_{41}H_{78}O_6$ 2.39936.8010Mix TG (0-57:8); ONH4 ⁺⁺ $C_{60}H_{102}O_6$ 2.28	0.018
912.7994Mix IG (O-55:6); O NH_4^+ $C_{58}H_{102}O_6$ 2.54 843.7401Mix TG (O-49:1); O Na^+ $C_{52}H_{100}O_6$ 2.53 901.7247Mix TG (54:6) Na^+ $C_{57}H_{98}O_6$ 2.50 866.8155Mix TG (51:0) NH_4^+ $C_{54}H_{104}O_6$ 2.41 887.7463Mix TG (O-54:6) Na^+ $C_{57}H_{100}O_5$ 2.41 689.5683Mix TG (38:0) Na^+ $C_{41}H_{78}O_6$ 2.39 936.8010Mix TG (O-57:8); O NH_4^+ $C_{60}H_{102}O_6$ 2.28	0.019
843.7401 Mix TG (O-49:1); O Na ⁺ $C_{52}H_{100}O_6$ 2.53 901.7247 Mix TG (54:6) Na ⁺ $C_{57}H_{98}O_6$ 2.50 866.8155 Mix TG (51:0) NH ₄ ⁺ $C_{54}H_{104}O_6$ 2.41 887.7463 Mix TG (O-54:6) Na ⁺ $C_{57}H_{100}O_5$ 2.41 689.5683 Mix TG (38:0) Na ⁺ $C_{41}H_{78}O_6$ 2.39 936.8010 Mix TG (O-57:8): O NH ₄ ⁺⁺ $C_{60}H_{102}O_6$ 2.28	0.032
901.7247 Mix TG (54:6) Na ⁺ $C_{57}H_{98}O_6$ 2.50 866.8155 Mix TG (51:0) NH ₄ ⁺ $C_{54}H_{104}O_6$ 2.41 887.7463 Mix TG (0-54:6) Na ⁺ $C_{57}H_{100}O_5$ 2.41 689.5683 Mix TG (38:0) Na ⁺ $C_{41}H_{78}O_6$ 2.39 936.8010 Mix TG (0-57:8): O NH ₄ ⁺ $C_{60}H_{102}O_6$ 2.28	0.007
866.8155 Mix TG (51:0) NH_4^+ $C_{54}H_{104}O_6$ 2.41 887.7463 Mix TG (0-54:6) Na^+ $C_{57}H_{100}O_5$ 2.41 689.5683 Mix TG (38:0) Na^+ $C_{41}H_{78}O_6$ 2.39 936.8010 Mix TG (0-57:8): O NH_4^+ $C_{60}H_{102}O_6$ 2.28	0.016
887.7463 Mix TG (O-54:6) Na ⁺ $C_{57}H_{100}O_5$ 2.41 689.5683 Mix TG (38:0) Na ⁺ $C_{41}H_{78}O_6$ 2.39 936.8010 Mix TG (O-57:8): O NH ₄ ⁺ $C_{60}H_{102}O_6$ 2.28	0.013
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.036
936.8010 Mix TG (O-57:8): O NH_4^+ $C_{60}H_{102}O_6$ 2.28	0.029
	0.005
871.7145 Mix TG (O-53:7) Na ⁺ $C_{56}H_{96}O_5$ 1.62	0.006
897.7299 Mix TG (O-55:8) Na ⁺ $C_{58}H_{98}O_5$ 1.19	0.009
979.7707 Mix TG (60:9) Na ⁺ $C_{63}H_{104}O_6$ 0.56	0.007
902.7280 Mix TG (0-55:11); O NH_4^+ $C_{58}H_{92}O_6$ 0.52	0.007
958.7905 Mix TG (O-59:11); O NH_4^+ $C_{62}H_{100}O_6$ 0.49	0.009
905.7571 Mix TG (54:4) Na ⁺ $C_{57}H_{102}O_6$ 0.49	0.001
957.7873 Mix TG (58:6) Na ⁺ $C_{61}H_{106}O_6$ 0.47	0.009
953.7562 Mix TG (55:3); O K^+ $C_{58}H_{106}O_7$ 0.47	0.005
903.7412 Mix TG (54:5) Na ⁺ $C_{57}H_{100}O_6$ 0.44	0.007
653.4408 TG(O-37:9); O_2 H ⁺ $C_{40}H_{60}O_7$ 0.41	0.001
$681.4719 TG(O-39:9); O_2 H^+ C_{42}H_{64}O_7 0.39$	0.007
)G	
563.5029 Mix DG (33:1) $-H_2O^+H^+$ $C_{36}H_{68}O_5$ 8.01	0.019
591.5337 Mix DG (35:1) $-H_2O^+H^+$ $C_{38}H_{72}O_5$ 6.76	0.018
617.5495 DG (37:2) $-H_2O^+H^+$ $C_{40}H_{74}O_5$ 2.64	0.038

Na⁺

 Na^+

C39H70O5

 $C_{39}H_{68}O_5$

0.42

0.41

0.004

0.004

Table 1. Heart metabolites upregulated in HFD-fed mice. The accuracy for the m/z values reported is <0.005 ppm.

	m/z	Metabolites	Ion	Formula	HFD vs. STD	
					Fold	<i>p</i> -Value
SM						
	787.6681	SM (40:1);O ₂	H+	$C_{45}H_{91}N_2O_6P$	5.94	0.015
PC						
	858.5976	PC (40:5)	Na ⁺	C ₄₈ H ₈₆ NO ₈ P	2.85	0.003
	854.5668	PC (40:7)	Na ⁺	C ₄₈ H ₈₂ NO ₈ P	0.43	0.003
	860.6144	PC (42:7)	H^{+}	$C_{50}H_{86}NO_8P$	0.27	0.004
Dihydro-PC						
	835.6033	DihydroPC (35:0); O ₅	NH4 ⁺	C ₄₀ H ₈₂ NO ₁₃ P	2.46	0.020
Others						
	386.3264	CAR (15:0)	H ⁺	C ₂₂ H ₄₃ NO ₄	2.90	0.032
	386.2894	CAR (14:1); O	H^+	$C_{21}H_{39}NO_5$	0.25	0.006
	440.3369	CAR (18:2); O	H^+	C ₂₅ H ₄₅ NO ₅	0.24	0.005
	414.3209	CAR (16:1); O	H^+	C ₂₃ H ₄₃ NO ₅	0.21	0.019
	442.3521	CAR (18:1); O	H^+	$C_{25}H_{47}NO_5$	0.18	0.019





Figure 3. LDI–MS tissue imaging visualization of the metabolite distributions in heart cross sections. A representative tissue image showing the abundance of 10 of the 63 metabolites identified via LC-MS is shown. Green color denotes a higher abundance of a particular metabolite, whereas blue denotes a lower abundance. Average spectra identifying the Mix TG (O-52:8): m/z 855.68, mass error $\Delta = -39$ ppm; Mix TG (O-53:7): m/z 871.72, mass error $\Delta = 35$ ppm; Mix TG (54:4): m/z 905.76, mass error $\Delta = 17$ ppm; Mix TG (54:5): m/z 881.76, mass error $\Delta = 28$ ppm; Mix TG (54:6): m/z 879.74, mass error $\Delta = 33$ ppm; Mix TG (O-54:6):O: m/z 881.76, mass error $\Delta = 28$ ppm; Mix TG (O-55:8): m/z 897.73, mass error $\Delta = 42$ ppm; DG (36:3): m/z 641.51, mass error $\Delta = 24$ ppm; DG (36:4): m/z 617.51, mass error $\Delta = 22$ ppm; PC (40:5): m/z 820.62, mass error $\Delta = 25$ ppm.

2.3. Identified Metabolites Classify Both the STD and the HFD Groups

To explore the classification of the two groups by the identified metabolites, the OPLS-DA supervised method was used. OPLS-DA showed a clear group separation (R2Y = 0.735;

Q2 = 0.694) (Figure 4A). In order to explore which of the identified metabolites better classify both groups, the VIP values of the OPLS-DA model was employed. Figure 4B showed the top-ten metabolites that better classify the two groups. Whereas PC (42:7), CAR (18:2); O, CAR (14:1); O, Mix TG (54:5), DG (36:4), and TG (O-37:9); O₂ were enriched in the hearts of animals fed with STD, Mix TG (56:6), TG (53:6), Mix TG (O-52:8) and Mix TG (49:1) were found enriched in hearts from the HFD-fed animals (Figure 4B).



Figure 4. OPLS-DA score plots (**A**) and OPLS-DA-derived VIP values (**B**) performed with the identified metabolites in hearts from STD and HFD mice. The blue boxes denote lower abundance of a particular metabolite, whereas red boxes denote a higher abundance.

2.4. Myocardial Lipid Composition Correlates to Plasma Variables

Next, the potential associations among the myocardial lipid composition and physiological parameters related to glucose and fatty acid metabolism were assessed (Figure 5, Supplementary Table S1). Most of the identified metabolites significantly correlated to selected plasma variables. Specifically, 51 of the were found correlated to plasma triglycerides, 45 with very low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (VLDLc), 44 with glucose, 41 with leptin, and 24 with adiponectin. However, whereas positive correlations were found among the abovementioned first four variables and the upregulated metabolites found in the hearts from the HFD-fed mice, they were inversely correlated with adiponectin. Conversely, the metabolites found down-regulated in hearts from animals fed with the HFD directly were correlated with adiponectin and inversely correlated with the other variables (i.e., triglycerides, VLDLc, glucose, and leptin).

2.5. Liver Fat Content Is Related to Myocardial Lipid Composition

Finally, we explored the potential associations among the myocardial lipid composition and the specific liver triglyceride pattern previously identify in our animal model [21] (Figure 6, Supplementary Table S2). Positive correlations were found among liver triglyceride and the HFD-upregulated cardiac metabolites. Nevertheless, cardiac metabolites downregulated by the HFD were inversely correlated to liver TG.



Figure 5. Heatmap showing Spearman's correlation between the altered myocardial metabolites and the weight and biochemical variables related to glucose and fatty acid metabolism. The color intensity shows the degree of the association, with the positive correlations in green and the negative ones in red.



Figure 6. Heatmap showing Spearman's correlation between the altered myocardial metabolites and liver TG. The color intensity shows the degree of the association, with the positive correlations in green and the negative ones in red.

3. Discussion

Ectopic fat accumulation in non-adipose tissues is related to an increased risk for CV events [1–5]. Increasing evidence propose cardiac lipid accumulation as one of the main precursors for the myocardial dysfunction due to diabetes [2–5,24], thereby suggesting a lipotoxic process underlying the cardiac functional alterations of diabetic etiology. Actually, it has been reported that stores of triacylglycerol and other bioactive lipid metabolites in cardiac cells impairs both insulin-stimulated glucose uptake [9] and oxidation [10]. Nevertheless, the full picture of lipid metabolites involved in the metabolic disturbances related to myocardial dysfunction is not fully depicted. Here, we identified a myocardial

lipid fingerprint related to metabolic alterations in a murine model of obesity/insulin resistance induced by an HFD [22,23].

Characterization of our model was described elsewhere [20–23]. Briefly, our HFDinduced insulin resistance model showed higher body weight, fasting blood glucose, and HOMA-insulin resistance index than the STD-fed animals. Interestingly, an increase in the myocardial lipid accumulation had been already observed in the animals fed with an HFD compared to STD-fed animals [22,23]. In order to fully characterize the myocardial lipid composition in these animals, an untargeted approach was performed. Roughly 50% of the differentially-found metabolites between both groups were identified as putative TG, highlighting the prevalent role of this lipid specie in the cardiac lipidomic remodeling induced by the HFD. Actually, the total TG species pool had been previously found to be increased in myocardium in both obese/type 2 diabetes patients [2,3,5,25–28] and murine models of diet-induced obesity/insulin resistance [29–36]. Specifically, our targeted analysis identified 39 TG up-regulated in hearts from HFD-fed mice. These data are in line with a recent study reporting that 11 kinds of TG increased in a mice model of diabetic cardiomyopathy [35]. Additionally, 9 TG were found down-regulated by the HFD, thereby suggesting that the myocardial lipid composition is subjected to complex dynamics. Although it was suggested that TG are metabolically inactive [37], myocardial TG have been related to diastolic dysfunction [5,38,39] and impaired myocardial strain in patients with type 2 diabetes [4,38]. Additionally, increasing evidence has linked TG accumulation with insulin resistance [40-42]. Apart from TG, we found that HFD also modified the content of DG, SM, PC, and carnitines to a lesser extent, thereby highlighting that lipid species others than TG may also have a relevant role in lipid-induced cardiac adaptation. Actually, DG accumulation in myocardium has been found in both diabetic patients [43] and animal models [33,44], and it has been related to cardiac lipotoxicity [18,45–47]. DG are involved in cellular signaling, including the PKC θ -induced inhibitory phosphorylation of IRS1 [48–50], thereby acting as second messengers leading to insulin resistance [9,51,52]. The DG have been further related to cardiac fibrosis and heart failure [36,53-56], potentially through PKC-induced oxidative stress [53,54]. DG may be produced from SM. SM are associated with lipid rafts in the cell membranes, which take part in the insulin resistance-related signal transduction [57,58]. The role of these molecules in the hearts of animal models fed with an HFD have yielded somewhat conflicting results. While SM was to be found reduced in hearts from HFD-fed rats [36], two kinds of sphingomyelin were found to have increased in hearts from streptozotocin-induced diabetic mice fed with an HFD [35]. Our data revealed one SM induced in the heart from the HFD-fed mice, thereby supporting the SM involvement in the HFD-induced metabolic disturbances related to diabetes. PC, one of the main components of the biological membranes involved in the cholesterol esterification of the high-density lipoproteins (HDL), was not modified in previous studies [36]. Our data reveal a variable response of PC to HFD, so further studies are necessary in order to clarify the role of these molecules in the insulin resistance heart. Carnitines are rate-limiting factors for energy production from long-chain fatty acids, regulating its transport to mitochondria for β -oxidation [59]. Although the diabetic heart shows an initial compensatory increase in fatty acid oxidation, the myocardial lipid accumulation suggests an impaired mitochondrial function in later stages. Therefore, the carnitines reduction found in our animal model may be related to mitochondrial impairment and the increase in the TG levels observed in the hearts from animals fed with the HFD. Taken together, the HFD-related lipidomic profile may directly impact the lipotoxic-induced myocardial dysfunction. Actually, ~30% of the identified metabolites were oxidated, with the polyunsaturated fatty acids found in the different lipid species being the most susceptible to lipid peroxidation. It is worth noting that although saturated and monounsaturated fatty acids were also found, most of the fatty acids were long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids, potentially due to the type of diet used.

Although lipidomics has emerged as a powerful approach for the identification of specific lipid species related to the cardiac metabolic dysfunction related to diabetes, it is worth noting that the different methods for compound identification have yielded some-

what conflicting results. Therefore, a standardization of the methodological approaches among the different laboratories is necessary for the study comparison. In order to validate our data, we monitored the spatial distribution of the identified compounds via LDI/MS tissue imaging. Ten of the 63 lipids identified by MS/MS could be further detected in heart cross sections, showing a relative homogeneous distribution. Nevertheless, further research is warranted in order to identify potentially different metabolites distribution among the different areas of heart (i.e., atriums vs. ventricles).

Because the lipid profile found in the hearts of the HFD-fed animals includes metabolites involved in altered metabolic profiles, such as insulin resistance [9,51,57,58], we next explored the potential associations among the identified cardiac metabolites and variables related to glucose and fatty acid metabolism. We have previously shown that the total amount of cardiac TG directly correlated with plasma levels of glucose, triglycerides, VLDL, leptin, and resistin [23]. In line with these observations, most of the identified up-regulated metabolites (including TG) were positively correlated with the above-mentioned variables, including leptin and resistin, but inversely correlated with plasma adiponectin. Conversely, the metabolites down-regulated by the HFD were positively correlated with adiponectin and inversely correlated with the other variables (i.e., plasma levels of glucose, triglycerides, VLDL, leptin, and resistin). Therefore, our data suggest that a poor plasmatic biochemical profile may be indicative of an altered cardiac lipid profile. Actually, it is well known that the plasma biochemical profile of diabetic patients is related to the development of metabolic alterations in the different target tissues, including the heart. The non-esterified fatty acids (NEFAs), as well as the fatty acids found esterified in the liver-released triglyceride-rich lipoproteins, are associated with an increased fatty-acid uptake by the cardiac cells. This leads to the accumulation of bioactive lipid intermediates, such as those identified in the current work, resulting in the regulation of several cellular signaling pathways involved in the imbalance of cellular responses, including insulin-mediated glucose uptake, endoplasmic reticulum stress, inflammation, mitochondrial dysfunction, oxidative stress, and fibrosis or apoptosis, among others. As mentioned above, PKC activation by several of these bioactive lipid mediators has been related to some of these process [36,48–50,53–56]. Additionally, hyperglycaemia and adipokines, such as leptin and resistin, have also been related to the development of insulin resistance in different tissues. Therefore, an altered biochemical profile could be indicative of alterations in the myocardium related to heart failure in diabetic individuals. In fact, diabetic individuals have an increased risk of heart failure independently of hypertension, coronary artery disease, and valvular heart disease [60], although the molecular mechanisms underlying this risk are not fully known. Our data suggest that ectopic fat accumulation in the heart is related to a state of oxidative stress and lipid peroxidation. Therefore, the plasma biochemical profile could be indicative of the oxidative state in the heart of diabetic individuals, allowing the initiation of therapies aimed at reducing these processes, for example, with the use of antioxidants. Additionally, given the role of PKC in the lipid-induced cellular responses, therapies aimed at inhibiting this kinase may be also considered. Since the accumulation of ectopic fat in the heart precedes the development of myocardial dysfunction [3,6], these types of therapies could contribute to slowing the progression of the disease.

Given that liver steatosis is closely related to an altered cardiac function [11], we finally explored the potential associations among the myocardial lipid composition and the specific liver TG pattern previously identified in our animal model [21]. Similar to data from the biochemical plasma profile, whereas most of the cardiac metabolites up-regulated by the HFD were directly associated with most of the liver TG, these latest were inversely correlated with the HFD-downregulated cardiac metabolites. Thus, the HFD-induced altered lipidomic profiles found in heart and liver may be somewhat related. Actually, the liver is the main source of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins, which are released to bloodstream, acting as interlocutors between the liver and the peripheral tissues, including the heart. Given that the hepatic de novo lipogenesis exceeds the VLDL production in metabolic individuals [61,62], the liver TG profile may influence the myocardial lipid composition

through the liver-derived triglyceride-rich lipoproteins. Nevertheless, further research is warranted in order to determine whether metabolic disturbances found in one of the tissues may influence the other one.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Animal Model Experiments

Six-week-old C57BL/6J mice were randomly distributed inro two experimental groups and fed ad libitum a standard chow diet (STD: 10% kcal from fat; Panlab; Barcelona, Spain) or a high-fat diet (HFD: 60% kcal from fat; Panlab; Barcelona, Spain) for 12 weeks in standard light–dark cycle (12 h light/dark cycle) and temperature (21 ± 1 °C) conditions [20–23]. No changes were found in the food intake between the experimental groups. Animals were euthanized, and the heart and the liver were removed, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C. Experiments were conformed according to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the U.S. National Institutes of Health (NIH publication no. 85-23, revised 1996). All procedures were approved by the University Rovira i Virgili Bioethics Committee, as stated in Law 5/21 July 1995 passed by the Generalitat de Catalunya (Autonomous Government of Catalonia).

4.2. Biochemical Plasma Profile

Animals were fasted for 4h, and a blood sample was collected. Plasma samples were analyzed for triglycerides, total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol (HDLc), glucose (Spinre-act; Barcelona, Spain), and non-esterified fatty acids (NEFAs) (Wako; Osaka, Japan) via standardized colorimetric methods adapted to the Cobas Mira Plus Autoanalyzer (Roche Diagnostics, Barcelona, Spain). The low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDLc) concentration was calculated using the Friedewäld formula, and the concentration of VLDLc was determined by the formula total cholesterol—(HDLc + LDLc). Plasma levels of insulin, resistin, leptin, and adiponectin were determined by commercial ELISA Kits (Milliplex[®], Millipore; Billerica, MA, USA). The homeostatic model assessment (HOMA) index was calculated as previously described [23,63].

4.3. Lipid Staining

Lipid staining was performed in 5 µm cross-sections from frozen mouse hearts using Oil Red O staining following the protocol described by Mehlem et al. [64]. Pictures were captured using a microscope (Olympus IX71, Barcelona, Spain).

4.4. Lipidomics

Lipid extraction and fragmentation was performed as previously described [21] and the organic phase was collected, dried under a stream of nitrogen, and resuspended in methanol–toluene (9:1) for LC/MS analysis.

Untargeted LC/MS analyses were performed as previously described [21] using a UHPLC system (1200 series, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) coupled to a 6550 ESI-QTOF MS (Agilent Technologies) operating in positive (ESI+) electrospray ionization mode. For compound identification, MS/MS analyses were performed in targeted mode (m/z range from 700–900, with a default iso width of 4 m/z and collision energy at 20 V). Lipid structures were identified by matching tandem MS spectra against reference standards in LIPID MAPS [65] and/or LipidBlast [66] and/or Metlin (https://metlin.scripps.edu (accessed on 3 February 2022)) databases. Data were processed using XCMS software (version 1.34.0) [67] and normalized by dry weight.

4.5. Tissue Molecular Imaging

Tissue imaging via laser desorption/ionization-mass spectrometry (LDI-MS) imaging was performed as previously described [21,68,69] in 10 µm heart sections covered by gold monolayers. LDI-MS tissue images were acquired using a MALDI-TOF UltrafleXtreme instrument with SmartBeam II Nd:YAG/355 nm laser (Bruker Daltonics, Billerica, MA,

USA) and processed by using open-source software rMSI (version 0.3.1) [70] and rMSIproc (https://github.com/prafols/rMSIproc (version 0.9.1) (accessed on 2 July 2022)) [69]. The metabolites' tentative identification was based on the exact mass of their sodium adduct according to the Human Metabolome Database, filtering metabolites with mass error $\Delta < 50$ ppm, and the results obtained from the LC–MS/MS experiments.

4.6. Statistical Analysis

Data are expressed as the mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM), and significant differences between groups were established using Student's *t*-test. Volcano plots were obtained using a fold change threshold of 2 and a p value threshold of 0.05. Principal components analysis (PCA) was performed to describe the metabolic profiles between groups. The loading analysis of the principal components was used to identify the most relevant molecular components. The orthogonal partial least squares discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) supervised regression modeling was applied for group discrimination. The OPLS-DA validity and predictive ability were estimated via the R2Y parameters and Q2 values, respectively. The variable importance in the projection (VIP) values of the OPLS-DA model was used to identify which metabolites better classify the groups. A correlation between differential metabolites and physiological parameters was performed via Spearman's test. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (IBM SPSS Statistics, version 22.0). Differences were considered statistically significant at *p* < 0.05.

5. Conclusions

Altogether, our findings show that HFD induces changes in the cardiac lipidomic profile, mainly characterized by an increase in the number of TG and a decrease in several carnitines, among other molecules. This cardiac metabolic profile may indicate the reduction in the fatty acids mitochondrial β -oxidation as one of the potential underlying mechanisms involved in the ectopic fat accumulation in the myocardium. In addition, the increase in lipid peroxidation suggests the onset of a lipotoxic process related to the worsening cardiac function in individuals with metabolic disorders. Finally, the cardiac lipidome paralleled the alterations in the plasma biochemical profile and a specific liver TG pattern, thereby suggesting that the myocardial lipid disturbances are closely related to other metabolic disturbances, both at the systemic level and at the level of specific tissues.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms25052702/s1.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization: R.R.-C.; methodology: J.G., S.S., N.M.-M., M.G.-A., P.R., O.Y., X.C. and R.R.-C.; software: M.G.-A., P.R., O.Y. and X.C.; validation: J.G. and R.R.-C.; formal analysis: J.G., N.M.-M. and R.R.-C.; investigation: J.G., O.S., S.S., A.J., N.M.-M., M.G.-A., Y.E. and R.R.-C.; resources: J.G., X.C., O.Y. and L.M.; data curation: S.S., A.J., M.G.-A. and P.R.; writing—original draft preparation: R.R.-C.; writing—review and editing: J.G., L.M. and R.R.-C.; visualization: R.R.-C.; supervision: L.M. and R.R.-C.; project administration: R.R.-C.; funding acquisition: J.G. and L.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by funds from Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII), Madrid, Spain [PI18/00515], Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional [FEDER], and the CIBER in Diabetes and Associated Metabolic Disorders [CB07/08/0028].

Institutional Review Board Statement: The animal study protocol was approved by the University Rovira i Virgili Bioethics Committee (protocol code: 0279GC, 11 May 2015).

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available in the article and Supplementary Materials. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Britton, K.A.; Fox, C.S. Ectopic fat depots and cardiovascular disease. Circulation 2011, 124, e837–e841. [CrossRef]
- Levelt, E.; Mahmod, M.; Piechnik, S.K.; Ariga, R.; Francis, J.M.; Rodgers, C.T.; Clarke, W.T.; Sabharwal, N.; Schneider, J.E.; Karamitsos, T.D.; et al. Relationship Between Left Ventricular Structural and Metabolic Remodeling in Type 2 Diabetes. *Diabetes* 2016, 65, 44–52. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- McGavock, J.M.; Lingvay, I.; Zib, I.; Tillery, T.; Salas, N.; Unger, R.; Levine, B.D.; Raskin, P.; Victor, R.G.; Szczepaniak, L.S. Cardiac steatosis in diabetes mellitus: A 1H-magnetic resonance spectroscopy study. *Circulation* 2007, 116, 1170–1175. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Ng, A.C.; Delgado, V.; Bertini, M.; van der Meer, R.W.; Rijzewijk, L.J.; Hooi Ewe, S.; Siebelink, H.M.; Smit, J.W.; Diamant, M.; Romijn, J.A.; et al. Myocardial steatosis and biventricular strain and strain rate imaging in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Circulation* **2010**, *122*, 2538–2544. [CrossRef]
- Rijzewijk, L.J.; van der Meer, R.W.; Smit, J.W.; Diamant, M.; Bax, J.J.; Hammer, S.; Romijn, J.A.; de Roos, A.; Lamb, H.J. Myocardial steatosis is an independent predictor of diastolic dysfunction in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2008, 52, 1793–1799. [CrossRef]
- 6. Djaberi, R.; Schuijf, J.D.; van Werkhoven, J.M.; Nucifora, G.; Jukema, J.W.; Bax, J.J. Relation of epicardial adipose tissue to coronary atherosclerosis. *Am. J. Cardiol.* **2008**, *102*, 1602–1607. [CrossRef]
- Ouwens, D.M.; Sell, H.; Greulich, S.; Eckel, J. The role of epicardial and perivascular adipose tissue in the pathophysiology of cardiovascular disease. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 2010, 14, 2223–2234. [CrossRef]
- Gonzalez, N.; Moreno-Villegas, Z.; Gonzalez-Bris, A.; Egido, J.; Lorenzo, O. Regulation of visceral and epicardial adipose tissue for preventing cardiovascular injuries associated to obesity and diabetes. *Cardiovasc. Diabetol.* 2017, *16*, 44. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
 Erion, D.M.; Shulman, G.I. Diacylglycerol-mediated insulin resistance. *Nat. Med.* 2010, *16*, 400–402. [CrossRef]
- 10. Gottlicher, M.; Widmark, E.; Li, Q.; Gustafsson, J.A. Fatty acids activate a chimera of the clofibric acid-activated receptor and the glucocorticoid receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1992**, *89*, 4653–4657. [CrossRef]
- VanWagner, L.B.; Wilcox, J.E.; Colangelo, L.A.; Lloyd-Jones, D.M.; Carr, J.J.; Lima, J.A.; Lewis, C.E.; Rinella, M.E.; Shah, S.J. Association of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease with subclinical myocardial remodeling and dysfunction: A population-based study. *Hepatology* 2015, 62, 773–783. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Ekstedt, M.; Franzen, L.E.; Mathiesen, U.L.; Thorelius, L.; Holmqvist, M.; Bodemar, G.; Kechagias, S. Long-term follow-up of patients with NAFLD and elevated liver enzymes. *Hepatology* **2006**, *44*, 865–873. [CrossRef]
- 13. Adams, L.A.; Sanderson, S.; Lindor, K.D.; Angulo, P. The histological course of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A longitudinal study of 103 patients with sequential liver biopsies. *J. Hepatol.* **2005**, *42*, 132–138. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lindenmeyer, C.C.; McCullough, A.J. The Natural History of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease-An Evolving View. *Clin. Liver Dis.* 2018, 22, 11–21. [CrossRef]
- 15. Iozzo, P. Myocardial, perivascular, and epicardial fat. Diabetes Care 2011, 34 (Suppl. S2), S371–S379. [CrossRef]
- 16. Drosatos, K.; Schulze, P.C. Cardiac lipotoxicity: Molecular pathways and therapeutic implications. *Curr. Heart Fail. Rep.* 2013, 10, 109–121. [CrossRef]
- 17. Birse, R.T.; Bodmer, R. Lipotoxicity and cardiac dysfunction in mammals and Drosophila. *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 2011, 46, 376–385. [CrossRef]
- 18. D'Souza, K.; Nzirorera, C.; Kienesberger, P.C. Lipid metabolism and signaling in cardiac lipotoxicity. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2016, 1861, 1513–1524. [CrossRef]
- 19. Lopaschuk, G.D.; Ussher, J.R.; Folmes, C.D.; Jaswal, J.S.; Stanley, W.C. Myocardial fatty acid metabolism in health and disease. *Physiol. Rev.* 2010, *90*, 207–258. [CrossRef]
- Bosquet, A.; Girona, J.; Guaita-Esteruelas, S.; Heras, M.; Saavedra-Garcia, P.; Martinez-Micaelo, N.; Masana, L.; Rodriguez-Calvo, R. FABP4 inhibitor BMS309403 decreases saturated-fatty-acid-induced endoplasmic reticulum stress-associated inflammation in skeletal muscle by reducing p38 MAPK activation. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2018, 1863, 604–613. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rodriguez-Calvo, R.; Samino, S.; Girona, J.; Martinez-Micaelo, N.; Rafols, P.; Garcia-Altares, M.; Guaita-Esteruelas, S.; Junza, A.; Heras, M.; Yanes, O.; et al. Hepatic Lipidomics and Molecular Imaging in a Murine Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Model: Insights into Molecular Mechanisms. *Biomolecules* 2020, 10, 1275. [CrossRef]
- Rodriguez-Calvo, R.; Girona, J.; Rodriguez, M.; Samino, S.; Barroso, E.; de Gonzalo-Calvo, D.; Guaita-Esteruelas, S.; Heras, M.; van der Meer, R.W.; Lamb, H.J.; et al. Fatty acid binding protein 4 (FABP4) as a potential biomarker reflecting myocardial lipid storage in type 2 diabetes. *Metab. Clin. Exp.* 2019, *96*, 12–21. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rodriguez-Calvo, R.; Samino, S.; Guaita-Esteruelas, S.; Martinez-Micaelo, N.; Heras, M.; Girona, J.; Yanes, O.; Correig, X.; Masana, L. Plasma glucose, triglycerides, VLDL, leptin and resistin levels as potential biomarkers for myocardial fat in mice. *Clin. Investig. Arterioscler.* 2020, *32*, 8–14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Sharma, S.; Adrogue, J.V.; Golfman, L.; Uray, I.; Lemm, J.; Youker, K.; Noon, G.P.; Frazier, O.H.; Taegtmeyer, H. Intramyocardial lipid accumulation in the failing human heart resembles the lipotoxic rat heart. *FASEB J.* **2004**, *18*, 1692–1700. [CrossRef]
- Szczepaniak, L.S.; Dobbins, R.L.; Metzger, G.J.; Sartoni-D'Ambrosia, G.; Arbique, D.; Vongpatanasin, W.; Unger, R.; Victor, R.G. Myocardial triglycerides and systolic function in humans: In vivo evaluation by localized proton spectroscopy and cardiac imaging. *Magn. Reson. Med.* 2003, 49, 417–423. [CrossRef]

- Reingold, J.S.; McGavock, J.M.; Kaka, S.; Tillery, T.; Victor, R.G.; Szczepaniak, L.S. Determination of triglyceride in the human myocardium by magnetic resonance spectroscopy: Reproducibility and sensitivity of the method. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2005, 289, E935–E939. [CrossRef]
- Kankaanpaa, M.; Lehto, H.R.; Parkka, J.P.; Komu, M.; Viljanen, A.; Ferrannini, E.; Knuuti, J.; Nuutila, P.; Parkkola, R.; Iozzo, P. Myocardial triglyceride content and epicardial fat mass in human obesity: Relationship to left ventricular function and serum free fatty acid levels. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2006, 91, 4689–4695. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Utz, W.; Engeli, S.; Haufe, S.; Kast, P.; Bohnke, J.; Haas, V.; Hermsdorf, M.; Wiesner, S.; Pofahl, M.; Traber, J.; et al. Moderate dietary weight loss reduces myocardial steatosis in obese and overweight women. *Int. J. Cardiol.* 2013, 167, 905–909. [CrossRef]
- 29. Denton, R.M.; Randle, P.J. Concentrations of glycerides and phospholipids in rat heart and gastrocnemius muscles. Effects of alloxan-diabetes and perfusion. *Biochem. J.* **1967**, *104*, 416–422. [CrossRef]
- Finck, B.N.; Han, X.; Courtois, M.; Aimond, F.; Nerbonne, J.M.; Kovacs, A.; Gross, R.W.; Kelly, D.P. A critical role for PPARalphamediated lipotoxicity in the pathogenesis of diabetic cardiomyopathy: Modulation by dietary fat content. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA 2003, 100, 1226–1231. [CrossRef]
- Coort, S.L.; Hasselbaink, D.M.; Koonen, D.P.; Willems, J.; Coumans, W.A.; Chabowski, A.; van der Vusse, G.J.; Bonen, A.; Glatz, J.F.; Luiken, J.J. Enhanced sarcolemmal FAT/CD36 content and triacylglycerol storage in cardiac myocytes from obese zucker rats. *Diabetes* 2004, 53, 1655–1663. [CrossRef]
- O'Donnell, J.M.; Zampino, M.; Alpert, N.M.; Fasano, M.J.; Geenen, D.L.; Lewandowski, E.D. Accelerated triacylglycerol turnover kinetics in hearts of diabetic rats include evidence for compartmented lipid storage. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2006, 290, E448–E455. [CrossRef]
- Basu, R.; Oudit, G.Y.; Wang, X.; Zhang, L.; Ussher, J.R.; Lopaschuk, G.D.; Kassiri, Z. Type 1 diabetic cardiomyopathy in the Akita (Ins2WT/C96Y) mouse model is characterized by lipotoxicity and diastolic dysfunction with preserved systolic function. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 2009, 297, H2096–H2108. [CrossRef]
- Abdesselam, I.; Pepino, P.; Troalen, T.; Macia, M.; Ancel, P.; Masi, B.; Fourny, N.; Gaborit, B.; Giannesini, B.; Kober, F.; et al. Time course of cardiometabolic alterations in a high fat high sucrose diet mice model and improvement after GLP-1 analog treatment using multimodal cardiovascular magnetic resonance. *J. Cardiovasc. Magn. Reson.* 2015, 17, 95. [CrossRef]
- Xu, X.; Luo, Z.; He, Y.; Shan, J.; Guo, J.; Li, J. Application of untargeted lipidomics based on UHPLC-high resolution tandem MS analysis to profile the lipid metabolic disturbances in the heart of diabetic cardiomyopathy mice. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 2020, 190, 113525. [CrossRef]
- Marin-Royo, G.; Martinez-Martinez, E.; Gutierrez, B.; Jurado-Lopez, R.; Gallardo, I.; Montero, O.; Bartolome, M.V.; San Roman, J.A.; Salaices, M.; Nieto, M.L.; et al. The impact of obesity in the cardiac lipidome and its consequences in the cardiac damage observed in obese rats. *Clin. Investig. Arterioscler.* 2018, *30*, 10–20. [CrossRef]
- Coen, P.M.; Goodpaster, B.H. Role of intramyocelluar lipids in human health. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab. TEM* 2012, 23, 391–398. [CrossRef]
- Hammer, S.; van der Meer, R.W.; Lamb, H.J.; de Boer, H.H.; Bax, J.J.; de Roos, A.; Romijn, J.A.; Smit, J.W. Short-term flexibility of myocardial triglycerides and diastolic function in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2008, 295, E714–E718. [CrossRef]
- Hammer, S.; van der Meer, R.W.; Lamb, H.J.; Schar, M.; de Roos, A.; Smit, J.W.; Romijn, J.A. Progressive caloric restriction induces dose-dependent changes in myocardial triglyceride content and diastolic function in healthy men. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2008, 93, 497–503. [CrossRef]
- 40. Mota, M.; Banini, B.A.; Cazanave, S.C.; Sanyal, A.J. Molecular mechanisms of lipotoxicity and glucotoxicity in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Metab. Clin. Exp.* 2016, 65, 1049–1061. [CrossRef]
- Sasson, S. Nutrient overload, lipid peroxidation and pancreatic beta cell function. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 2017, 111, 102–109. [CrossRef]
- 42. Brons, C.; Grunnet, L.G. MECHANISMS IN ENDOCRINOLOGY: Skeletal muscle lipotoxicity in insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes: A causal mechanism or an innocent bystander? *Eur. J. Endocrinol.* **2017**, *176*, R67–R78. [CrossRef]
- 43. Chokshi, A.; Drosatos, K.; Cheema, F.H.; Ji, R.; Khawaja, T.; Yu, S.; Kato, T.; Khan, R.; Takayama, H.; Knoll, R.; et al. Ventricular assist device implantation corrects myocardial lipotoxicity, reverses insulin resistance, and normalizes cardiac metabolism in patients with advanced heart failure. *Circulation* 2012, 125, 2844–2853. [CrossRef]
- 44. Wang, P.; Lloyd, S.G.; Zeng, H.; Bonen, A.; Chatham, J.C. Impact of altered substrate utilization on cardiac function in isolated hearts from Zucker diabetic fatty rats. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 2005, 288, H2102–H2110. [CrossRef]
- Liu, L.; Yu, S.; Khan, R.S.; Ables, G.P.; Bharadwaj, K.G.; Hu, Y.; Huggins, L.A.; Eriksson, J.W.; Buckett, L.K.; Turnbull, A.V.; et al. DGAT1 deficiency decreases PPAR expression and does not lead to lipotoxicity in cardiac and skeletal muscle. *J. Lipid Res.* 2011, 52, 732–744. [CrossRef]
- Gizurarson, S.; Stahlman, M.; Jeppsson, A.; Shao, Y.; Redfors, B.; Bergfeldt, L.; Boren, J.; Omerovic, E. Atrial fibrillation in patients admitted to coronary care units in western Sweden—Focus on obesity and lipotoxicity. *J. Electrocardiol.* 2015, 48, 853–860. [CrossRef]
- 47. Wang, X.; West, J.A.; Murray, A.J.; Griffin, J.L. Comprehensive Metabolic Profiling of Age-Related Mitochondrial Dysfunction in the High-Fat-Fed ob/ob Mouse Heart. *J. Proteome Res.* **2015**, *14*, 2849–2862. [CrossRef]

- 48. Li, Y.; Soos, T.J.; Li, X.; Wu, J.; Degennaro, M.; Sun, X.; Littman, D.R.; Birnbaum, M.J.; Polakiewicz, R.D. Protein kinase C Theta inhibits insulin signaling by phosphorylating IRS1 at Ser(1101). *J. Biol. Chem.* **2004**, 279, 45304–45307. [CrossRef]
- Szendroedi, J.; Yoshimura, T.; Phielix, E.; Koliaki, C.; Marcucci, M.; Zhang, D.; Jelenik, T.; Muller, J.; Herder, C.; Nowotny, P.; et al. Role of diacylglycerol activation of PKCtheta in lipid-induced muscle insulin resistance in humans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2014, 111, 9597–9602. [CrossRef]
- 50. Nowotny, B.; Zahiragic, L.; Krog, D.; Nowotny, P.J.; Herder, C.; Carstensen, M.; Yoshimura, T.; Szendroedi, J.; Phielix, E.; Schadewaldt, P.; et al. Mechanisms underlying the onset of oral lipid-induced skeletal muscle insulin resistance in humans. *Diabetes* **2013**, *62*, 2240–2248. [CrossRef]
- Petersen, M.C.; Madiraju, A.K.; Gassaway, B.M.; Marcel, M.; Nasiri, A.R.; Butrico, G.; Marcucci, M.J.; Zhang, D.; Abulizi, A.; Zhang, X.M.; et al. Insulin receptor Thr1160 phosphorylation mediates lipid-induced hepatic insulin resistance. *J. Clin. Investig.* 2016, 126, 4361–4371. [CrossRef]
- 52. Zhang, L.; Ussher, J.R.; Oka, T.; Cadete, V.J.; Wagg, C.; Lopaschuk, G.D. Cardiac diacylglycerol accumulation in high fat-fed mice is associated with impaired insulin-stimulated glucose oxidation. *Cardiovasc. Res.* **2011**, *89*, 148–156. [CrossRef]
- 53. Song, X.; Qian, X.; Shen, M.; Jiang, R.; Wagner, M.B.; Ding, G.; Chen, G.; Shen, B. Protein kinase C promotes cardiac fibrosis and heart failure by modulating galectin-3 expression. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2015, *1853*, 513–521. [CrossRef]
- Connelly, K.A.; Kelly, D.J.; Zhang, Y.; Prior, D.L.; Advani, A.; Cox, A.J.; Thai, K.; Krum, H.; Gilbert, R.E. Inhibition of protein kinase C-beta by ruboxistaurin preserves cardiac function and reduces extracellular matrix production in diabetic cardiomyopathy. *Circ. Heart Fail.* 2009, 2, 129–137. [CrossRef]
- 55. Adebiyi, O.A.; Adebiyi, O.O.; Owira, P.M. Naringin Reduces Hyperglycemia-Induced Cardiac Fibrosis by Relieving Oxidative Stress. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0149890. [CrossRef]
- George, M.; Vijayakumar, A.; Dhanesh, S.B.; James, J.; Shivakumar, K. Molecular basis and functional significance of Angiotensin II-induced increase in Discoidin Domain Receptor 2 gene expression in cardiac fibroblasts. *J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol.* 2016, *90*, 59–69. [CrossRef]
- 57. Kolesnick, R. Signal transduction through the sphingomyelin pathway. Mol. Chem. Neuropathol. 1994, 21, 287–297. [CrossRef]
- 58. Li, Z.; Zhang, H.; Liu, J.; Liang, C.P.; Li, Y.; Li, Y.; Teitelman, G.; Beyer, T.; Bui, H.H.; Peake, D.A.; et al. Reducing plasma membrane sphingomyelin increases insulin sensitivity. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 2011, *31*, 4205–4218. [CrossRef]
- 59. Belay, B.; Esteban-Cruciani, N.; Walsh, C.A.; Kaskel, F.J. The use of levo-carnitine in children with renal disease: A review and a call for future studies. *Pediatr. Nephrol.* 2006, 21, 308–317. [CrossRef]
- 60. Jakobsson, P.J.; Odlander, B.; Steinhilber, D.; Rosen, A.; Claesson, H.E. Human B lymphocytes possess 5-lipoxygenase activity and convert arachidonic acid to leukotriene B4. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **1991**, *178*, 302–308. [CrossRef]
- Lambert, J.E.; Ramos-Roman, M.A.; Browning, J.D.; Parks, E.J. Increased de novo lipogenesis is a distinct characteristic of individuals with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Gastroenterology* 2014, 146, 726–735. [CrossRef]
- Titchenell, P.M.; Lazar, M.A.; Birnbaum, M.J. Unraveling the Regulation of Hepatic Metabolism by Insulin. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab. TEM* 2017, 28, 497–505. [CrossRef]
- 63. Matthews, D.R.; Hosker, J.P.; Rudenski, A.S.; Naylor, B.A.; Treacher, D.F.; Turner, R.C. Homeostasis model assessment: Insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. *Diabetologia* **1985**, *28*, 412–419. [CrossRef]
- 64. Mehlem, A.; Hagberg, C.E.; Muhl, L.; Eriksson, U.; Falkevall, A. Imaging of neutral lipids by oil red O for analyzing the metabolic status in health and disease. *Nat. Protoc.* **2013**, *8*, 1149–1154. [CrossRef]
- 65. Fahy, E.; Sud, M.; Cotter, D.; Subramaniam, S. LIPID MAPS online tools for lipid research. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2007, 35, W606–W612. [CrossRef]
- Kind, T.; Liu, K.H.; Lee, D.Y.; DeFelice, B.; Meissen, J.K.; Fiehn, O. LipidBlast in silico tandem mass spectrometry database for lipid identification. *Nat. Methods* 2013, 10, 755–758. [CrossRef]
- 67. Smith, C.A.; Want, E.J.; O'Maille, G.; Abagyan, R.; Siuzdak, G. XCMS: Processing mass spectrometry data for metabolite profiling using nonlinear peak alignment, matching, and identification. *Anal. Chem.* **2006**, *78*, 779–787. [CrossRef]
- Rafols, P.; Vilalta, D.; Torres, S.; Calavia, R.; Heijs, B.; McDonnell, L.A.; Brezmes, J.; Del Castillo, E.; Yanes, O.; Ramirez, N.; et al. Assessing the potential of sputtered gold nanolayers in mass spectrometry imaging for metabolomics applications. *PLoS ONE* 2018, 13, e0208908. [CrossRef]
- 69. Rafols, P.; Castillo, E.D.; Yanes, O.; Brezmes, J.; Correig, X. Novel automated workflow for spectral alignment and mass calibration in MS imaging using a sputtered Ag nanolayer. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2018**, *1022*, 61–69. [CrossRef]
- 70. Rafols, P.; Torres, S.; Ramirez, N.; Del Castillo, E.; Yanes, O.; Brezmes, J.; Correig, X. rMSI: An R package for MS imaging data handling and visualization. *Bioinformatics* **2017**, *33*, 2427–2428. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.