1

# **Original research article**

# Comparison of the nutritional composition and the concentrations of various contaminants in branded and private label yogurts

- 5 G. Cano-Sancho, G. Perelló, M. Nadal, J.L. Domingo\*
- 6 Laboratory of Toxicology and Environmental Health, School of Medicine, IISPV,
- 7 Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Sant Llorenç 21, 43201 Reus, Catalonia, Spain
- 8 \*Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 977759380.
- 9 *E-mail address:* joseluis.domingo@urv.cat (J.L. Domingo).

# 10 Abstract

11 This study was aimed at comparing the nutritional and contaminant profiles among 12 several of the most consumed trademarks of yogurts in Catalonia (Spain). The nutritional composition, the levels of aflatoxin  $M_1$  (AFM<sub>1</sub>), as well as the concentrations of 13 14 polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans (PCDD/Fs), polychlorinated 15 biphenyls (PCBs), and various heavy metals were determined in six of the main 16 trademarks of yogurts in Catalonia. To assess potential quantitative differences, a critical 17 comparison between "private label" yogurts and branded yogurts was performed. AFM1 18 was detected in six samples (33%), while the most detected heavy metals were As, Cd 19 and Pb. Slight differences were found between yogurt samples in some minerals and trace 20 elements including Ba, Ca, Cu, Cr, Fe, K and Mg. The WHO-TEQ values ranged between 21 0.006-0.008 and 0.003-0.012 ng/kg, for PCDD/Fs and PCB, respectively. To the best of 22 our knowledge, this is the first study providing a transversal approach on the occurrence 23 and co-occurrence of the major chemical contaminants in yogurt with a critical

comparison between trademarks. The results do not show relevant differences on the
nutritional composition, or on the levels of the assessed contaminants (chemicals and
AFM<sub>1</sub>) between "private label" and branded plain yogurts.

Keywords: Yogurt; Chemical contaminants; Persistent organic pollutants; Biological
contaminants; Essential mineral; Heavy metal; Aflatoxin; AFM<sub>1</sub>; Nutrients; Food
analysis; Food composition; Food safety

#### 30 **1** Introduction

31 Fermented milks and yogurts are typical constituents of the Mediterranean diet. Their 32 beneficial effects and nutritional value have been thoroughly studied, and the results have 33 been widely reported in the scientific literature (Astrup, 2014; Kimoto-Nira et al., 2014). The content of live lactic acid bacteria (LAB), Lactobacillus bulgaricus and 34 35 Streptococcus thermophilus species, has been correlated with a wide range of positive 36 health effects in clinical trials (Kimoto-Nira et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2013). Furthermore, 37 yogurt is a rich source of dietary minerals including calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), 38 potassium (K), phosphorous (P) and zinc (Zn), among others. Compared with milk, the 39 concentrations of these minerals are higher in yogurt by nearly 50%. Yogurt is also a 40 good source of riboflavin, niacin, vitamin  $B_6$ , and vitamin  $B_{12}$ , as well as an excellent 41 source of essential amino acids of high biological quality, generally containing higher 42 protein levels than milk (Germani et al., 2014). The proteolytic activity of LAB increases 43 the digestibility of the proteins through a pre-digestion, which efficiently actives the 44 aminoacids (El-Abbadi et al., 2014).

In spite of the beneficial effects of the frequent yogurt consumption, as for most other food items, the potential presence of undesirable chemical substances in foodstuffs is an issue of important concern which has been increasing in recent years. A considerable

2

Cano-Sancho et al.

number of studies have reported the presence of environmental pollutants (i.e. heavy
metals, polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins and dibenzofurans (PCDD/Fs),
polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), etc.) and contaminants of biological origin (i.e.
aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub>) (Arnich et al., 2009; Cano-Sancho et al., 2010; Martí-Cid et al., 2009;
Martorell et al., 2011; Perelló et al., 2009, 2012, 2014).

53 Regarding environmental pollutants, a prolonged exposure to toxic elements such as 54 arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), mercury (Hg) or lead (Pb), which are frequently found in 55 foodstuffs, can cause adverse effects to human health even at relatively low levels (Domingo, 1994; Sharma & Agrawal, 2005). In turn, due to their relevant potential 56 57 bioaccumulation and toxicity, organochlorinated contaminants such as PCDD/Fs and 58 PCBs are among the most known and investigated persistent organic pollutants (POPs). 59 Both PCDD/Fs and PCBs were included at the 1998 UN-EC POP protocol (UNEP, 2008). 60 Although human exposure to PCDD/Fs and PCBs occurs by various routes, food is the 61 primary source (Llobet et al., 2008; Perelló et al., 2012). On the other hand, aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub>  $(AFM_1)$  is the main monohydroxylated derivative of aflatoxinB<sub>1</sub> (AFB<sub>1</sub>), formed in the 62 63 liver by means of cytochrome p450-associated enzymes. Aflatoxins are highly toxic, 64 mutagenic, teratogenic and carcinogenic (Cano-Sancho et al., 2010).

65 In 2013, yogurt was the most consumed dairy product in Spain, with 15.53 L/person the 66 annual consumption of this product (MAGRAMA, 2013). Between 2009 and 2013, the 67 consumption of dairy products in Spanish homes has increased by an 8.6% (MAGRAMA, 68 2013; MARM, 2009). Despite the growing variety of dairy products, including flavored 69 recipes and formulations with added prebiotics and/or probiotic bacteria, the most 70 consumed dairy product in Catalonia (Spain) is plain yogurt (MAGRAMA, 2013). In 71 Spain, the market of dairy products in general, and that of yogurts in particular, is 72 characterized by an oligopolistic situation, where the branded yogurts (BY) and the

"private label" yogurts (PLY, also known as *white-label* products) hold equal market shares. As a result, the BY seek to differentiate themselves from PLY by means of high investments in nutritional and health research strategies that may raise the price of the final products (Baena & Rodríguez, 2013). As consumers face tighter economic constraints with respect to household food budget spending, determining the cost/benefit ratio of highly consumed food items becomes an issue of socioeconomic, as well as nutritional, interest.

The main objective of this study was to compare the nutritional and contaminant profiles between branded and private-label yogurts purchased in Catalonia. For this study, we determined the nutritional composition and the levels of AFM<sub>1</sub>, PCDD/Fs, PCBs, heavy metals and minerals, in the six main yogurt brands sold in Catalonia.

## 84 **2** Materials and methods

#### 85 **2.1 Sampling**

In April 2014, plain yogurt samples from the six major producers (four PLY: "M1, M2, M3 and M4" and two BY: "M5 and M6") were purchased from supermarkets and large markets in Catalonia. The selected brands cover more 80% of the yogurt market in Catalonia, a rate that can be also applied to Spain, where these brands are also similarly distributed. For each brand/company, three composite samples were prepared by pooling twenty individual yogurts (125 g each) from three different production batches. Samples were stored until their subsequent analyses at -20°C.

# 93 2.2 Analysis of nutrients

94 The ash determination was conducted by subjecting the samples at 525°C in a muffle
95 furnace (JP Selecta, Abrera, Barcelona, Spain) until a constant weight was obtained.

4

96 Moisture content was determined by drying the samples at 105°C in an air oven (JP 97 Selecta, Abrera, Barcelona, Spain). Protein contents were estimated from the crude 98 nitrogen content of the samples determined by the Dumas method (Jakob et al., 1995). 99 Total fat contents were determined by the Soxhlet method (Manganiello et al., 2000), 100 with the extract gravimetrically determined. Carbohydrates were calculated by difference 101 with the sum of the ash, humidity, protein and fat content combined.

102 2

#### 2.3 Analysis of aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub>

103 AFM<sub>1</sub> was determined in each composite sample by competitive ELISA method 104 RIDASCREENs Aflatoxin M<sub>1</sub> 30/15 n°R1111 (Ridascreens, R-BiopharmAG, Darmstadt, 105 Germany), according to the procedure described by R-Biopharm GmbH (Kanungo et al., 106 2014) with minor modifications. First 10 g of triturated and homogenized composite 107 samples of yogurt were weighed and extracted with 40 mL of dichloromethane by shaking 108 for 15 min on a magnetic stirrer and subsequently filtered. The determination was made 109 photometrically at 450 nm on a microplate reader (LT-4000MS, Labtech, Uckfield, East 110 Sussex, UK). The limit of detection was 25 ng/kg.

111 **2.4 Analysis of PCDD/Fs and PCBs** 

112 The concentrations of the 17 most toxic PCDD/F congeners and 18 PCBs (including also 113 12 dioxin-like PCBs (DL-PCBs)) were determined according to the US EPA method 114 8290 for PCDD/Fs and the US EPA Method 1668 and JIS K 0311 for PCBs. Appropriate 115 C<sub>13</sub>-labelled extraction standards were added to the homogenized samples in order to 116 control the sample preparation process. Samples were extracted using hexane/acetone (3:1 117 v/v, pesticide grade, Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) as solvent. The extracts were then 118 concentrated to determine the concentrations of PCDD/Fs and PCBs. A multi-step sample 119 clean-up was performed to remove the matrix, as well as the potential interfering

Cano-Sancho et al.

120 components. The first stage was fat destruction by treatment of the sample solution with acid 121 silica to breakdown the fat. The obtained extract was then subjected to a multilayer silica 122 clean-up column in order to further remove the matrix. After the clean-up, the extract was 123 eluted on a basic alumina column to separate the PCDD/Fs from the PCBs, and from 124 interfering components, by applying different eluent solutions on the column. The PCDD/F 125 and PCB fractions were separately collected and concentrated until near dryness. After 126 adding  $25 \,\mu$ L of the C13-labeled injection standards, the extracts were ready for the analysis. 127 The final obtained PCDD/F and PCB extracts were injected and analyzed separately by 128 high-resolution gas chromatography/ high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRGC/HRMS) 129 on an Agilent 6890 Capillary Gas Chromatograph equipped with a DB5-MS capillary 130 column and coupled to a Waters Autospec Ultima High Resolution Mass Spectrometer.

131 Following the chromatographic separation, the mass spectrometric parameters allowed to 132 separate PCDDs, PCDFs and PCBs between the different chlorination degrees, and between the C<sup>13</sup>-labeled congeners and the native C<sup>12</sup>-congeners. The mass spectrometer measured 133 134 two selected ions per congener group for the native, as well as the labelled components 135 (via "selected ion recording" at a resolution of 10,000). Quantification was carried out 136 using the corresponding isotope-labelled compounds as internal standards (Llobet et al., 137 2008; Perelló et al., 2012). Toxic equivalents (TEQ) of the analyzed PCDD/Fs and DL-138 PCBs were calculated using the WHO-toxic equivalency factors (WHO-TEF) for dioxins 139 and dioxin-like compounds (Van den Berg et al., 2006). The limits of detection (LOD, 140 fresh weight) were 0.001-0.023 and 0.038-1.20 ng/kg, for PCDD/Fs and PCBs, 141 respectively.

Cano-Sancho et al.

142

## 2.5 Analysis of trace elements

143 About 0.10 g of each composite sample were pre-digested with 3 mL of 65% nitric acid (Suprapur, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), 3 mL of 30% hydrogen peroxide (Suprapur, E. 144 145 Merck), and 2 mL of ultrapure water in Teflon vessels with a Milestone Start D 146 Microwave digestion system (Milestone Srl, Sorisole, Italy). The characteristics of the 147 selected program consisted of ten intervals of 5 to 10 min each for a total of 1 h 35 min, 148 heating up to a maximum temperature of 210°C (Martorell et al., 2011). The accuracy of 149 the instrumental methods and analytical procedures was checked by duplication of the 150 samples. Analytical grade reagents (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) were used for blanks and 151 calibration curves. Quality control of the methodology was assured by analyzing a certified 152 reference material (Lobster hepatopancreas, NRC Canada, TORT-2, Ottawa, ON, Canada). 153 Every 7 samples, a blank sample involving all reagents, was run to check for interference 154 and cross-contamination.

155 The concentrations of silver (Ag), aluminum (Al), arsenic (As), barium (Ba), calcium (Ca), 156 cadmium (Cd), cobalt (Co), chromium (Cr), copper (Cu), iron (Fe), mercury (Hg), potassium 157 (K), magnesium (Mg), manganese (Mn), nickel (Ni), sodium (Na), phosphorus (P), lead 158 (Pb), selenium (Se), antimony (Sb), tin (Sn), strontium (Sr), vanadium (V) and zinc (Zn) 159 were determined by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS, Perkin-Elmer 160 Elan 6000, Woodbridge, ON, Canada), (Bocio et al., 2005; Ferre-Huguet et al., 2008, 2009). 161 Rhodium was used as internal standard. Replicate measurements were performed. The limits 162 of detection (LOD, fresh weight) were the following: Ag and Zn 0.025  $\mu$ g/g; Al, Fe and Se 163 0.50 µg/g; As, Cr and Cu 0.0005 µg/g; Ba 0.025 µg/g; Ca 12.50 µg/g; Cd 0.0001 µg/g; Co, 164 Mn, Sr 0.05 µg/g; Hg 0.01 µg/g; K and P 125 µg/g; Mg 25 µg/g; Pb 0.015 µg/g; Ni and Sn 165 0.005  $\mu$ g/g; Na 1250  $\mu$ g/g; Sb 0.10  $\mu$ g/g, and V 0.25  $\mu$ g/g. For calculations, when the 166 concentration of an element was under the respective LOD, that value was assumed to be

167 equal to one-half of the LOD (ND =  $\frac{1}{2}$  LOD). Recovery rates for the elements analyzed 168 under the different experimental conditions ranged between 98.4 and 113.5%.

#### 169 **2.6 Statistics**

170 Results were evaluated using the statistical software SPSS.v19.0. The statistical 171 significance of the differences was assessed by applying the Kruskal-Wallis test, 172 considering as significant a level of 0.05. Associations between chemicals were explored 173 through a Pearson's correlation matrix, assuming significant correlations for *P*-values 174 below 0.05.

# **3 Results and discussion**

While information regarding the health benefits of yogurts is abundant (Donovan and Shamir, 2014; Güler and Sanal, 2009; Navarro-Alarcón et al., 2011; Tunick and van Hekken, 2015), data concerning health risks due to the intake of pollutants through consumption of yogurts is rather scarce (Boada et al., 2014; Jensen and Bolger, 2001; Srivastava et al., 2001). In this study, we performed a transversal approach on the occurrence and co-occurrence of the main nutrients and the major chemical contaminants in yogurt, with a critical comparison between trademarks.

183 **3.1 Nutrients** 

The contents of the major nutrients (total fat, carbohydrates and proteins), as well as the percentages of ash and humidity, which were determined for each composite sample, are summarized in Table 1. The main differences between brands were observed in fat and carbohydrate compositions. However, they were not statistically significant (P>0.05). Nutritional compositions were also compared with those provided by the respective manufacturers; the differences were only minor. The lack of nutritional differences found

190 here was also noted by Cleanthous et al. (2008) in a study performed with a wide range 191 of foodstuffs purchased from the Australian market. It was concluded that Australian food 192 products, manufactured on behalf of supermarket retailers and sold as "private label 193 products", were not nutritionally different from the respective branded products.

194 3.2 Contaminants

195

# 3.2.1 Levels of aflatoxin M1

196 AFM<sub>1</sub> was only detected in six composite samples (33%). The measured levels were close to the LOD (25 ng/kg) and below the EU limit of 50 ng/kg, with 33.8 ng/kg the maximum 197 198 concentration found. Positive samples and mean concentrations were slightly higher in 199 the samples of branded yogurts than in those of the private labels. The mean percentages 200 of positive samples were 25 and 50%, while the mean concentrations were 16.6 and 21.6 201 ng/kg, for private labels and branded yogurts, respectively. In a previous study, also 202 carried out in Catalonia, only two positive samples of yogurt (among a total of 72) were 203 found. However, one composite sample reached a level of 51.6 ng/kg, which exceeded 204 the EU limit of 50 ng/kg (Cano-Sancho et al., 2010).

205

#### 3.2.2 Levels of PCDD/Fs and PCBs

206 The mean concentrations of PCDD/Fs for the 17 most toxic congeners are shown in Table 207 2. Based on these concentrations and the WHO-TEF of each congener, the mean WHO-208 TEQ (ng/kg) for each composite sample was calculated (Table 2). Differences between 209 brands were significant for congeners such as 2,3,7,8-TCDD, OCDD, 1,2,3,6,7,8-210 HxCDF, 1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF and OCDF. The brands M2 and M3 showed the highest 211 concentrations of these congeners (P < 0.05), belonging both to the group of private labels. 212 Recently, we found similar levels of most of the PCDD/Fs congeners in yogurts samples purchased in 2008. However, the global estimation of WHO-TEQ (0.010 ng/kg) was 213

214

Cano-Sancho et al.

slightly higher than our previous estimation, which ranged between 0.006 and 0.008

215 ng/kg (Perelló et al., 2012).

216 Table 3 summarizes the mean concentrations of 18 PCB congeners in plain yogurts 217 purchased from the Catalonian market. While no differences among brands were noted 218 for total PCBs, significant differences (P < 0.05) were found for the congeners PCB 77, 219 81, 114, 123, 126, 156, 157, 167, 169 and 189. Most PCBs were detected at the highest 220 concentrations in the brands M2 and M3 (Private labels), but occasionally also in the 221 branded yogurts M5 and M6. The WHO-TEQs for Total PCBs ranged between 0.003 and 222 0.012 ng/kg, with no significant differences between brands. These values are in 223 accordance with those recently reported by Perelló et al. (2012) in yogurt samples from 224 the Catalonian market, which were purchased in 2008. Notwithstanding, a notable 225 difference between the total PCBs results of Perelló et al. (2012) in comparison to those 226 of the current study was noted, being higher in the previous study (57.5 ng/kg) than in the 227 currently one (17.5-34.65 ng/kg).

# 228 **3.2.3** Levels of toxic metals and other trace elements

The concentrations of 24 toxic and other trace elements and minerals are shown in Table 4. Silver (Ag), Co, Hg, Na, Se and V were not detected in any of the nine composite samples analyzed. Some toxic and non-essential elements were found at concentrations ranging between 0.53 and 4.19  $\mu$ g/g (Al), 0.05 and 0.10  $\mu$ g/g (Ba), and 0.01 and 0.09  $\mu$ g/g (Pb), or at constant concentrations of 0.03 and 0.01  $\mu$ g/g, for As and Cd, respectively.

As expected, the highest levels of trace elements corresponded to Ca, K, Mg and P. For Ca, its concentration agrees with the nutritional data provided by the yogurt manufacturers. In general terms, the concentrations of most analyzed elements were quite uniform and without relevant differences between brands. Significant differences were

noted for Ba, Ca and Sn. The lowest concentrations of Ba and Ca were found in brands M2 and M3, while the highest level of Sn was detected in brand M1. The comparison of the results of the current study with those of a previous survey assessing toxic metals (As, Cd, Hg and Pb) in yogurts also purchased in Catalonia, shows similar results for the toxic metals Cd, Hg and Pb (Martorell et al., 2011). Moreover, we should highlight the current finding of As vs. non-detected levels reported by Martorell et al. (2011), where the LOD was significantly higher (0.10  $\mu$ g/g).

#### **3.3 Co-occurrence of contaminants/nutrients and correlation analysis**

246 The simultaneous presence of contaminants was studied. The Pearson correlation analysis 247 was performed to detect the pairs and cocktails that more often occur in plain yogurt. 248 Table 5 summarizes the correlation matrix with the Pearson's correlation coefficients and 249 *p*-values, for a selection of chemical pairs with significant correlations. Significant 250 correlations (P < 0.05) were found between Ca and the major nutrients (fat, carbohydrates 251 and protein), as well as with As, K, Mg, P, Sr and Zn. In turn, Sr was also correlated with 252 carbohydrates and protein, As, Ca and P. On the other hand, total PCBs were correlated 253 with Ba, Cu and Pb.

254 To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study providing a transversal approach with 255 useful information on the occurrence and co-occurrence of some important potential 256 chemical contaminants in yogurts, with a critical comparison between major brands. On 257 one hand, we have considered the growing market share of private labels during the last 258 two decades; while on the other hand, we also considered the best quality facts proposed 259 by the branded products. Consequently, according to a perception survey performed by the Spanish Government (MARM, 2009), 14% of consumers responded that the lower 260 quality of private labels could explain their lower prices. 261

Cano-Sancho et al.

#### 262

# 2 4 Conclusion

263 In summary, potential cocktails of contaminants involving AFM<sub>1</sub>, PCDD/Fs, PCBs and 264 toxic metals should be expected in yogurts, whose combined adverse effects are still quite 265 unknown. However, yogurts should not be considered as a relevant source of human 266 health risks, because the pollutant concentrations are usually low. On the other hand, our 267 comparison between private label and branded yogurts did not show notable differences 268 in their nutritional compositions, or in their contamination levels. The most relevant 269 differences were found in some PCDD/Fs and PCBs congeners, pollutants that in two 270 private label yogurts were found at higher concentrations than in the remaining brands. 271 As conclusion, in the context of the serious current economic crisis, and based on the 272 results of the present survey, from the point of view of the nutrients and contaminants 273 here analyzed, the significant differences among the prices of the BY and PLY would not 274 be justified.

## 275 **References**

Arnich, N., Tard, A., Leblanc, J.-C., Bizec, B. L., Narbonne, J.-F., Maximilien, R. (2009).
Dietary intake of non-dioxin-like PCBs (NDL-PCBs) in France, impact of
maximum levels in some foodstuffs. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*,
54, 287-293.

Astrup, A. (2014). Yogurt and dairy product consumption to prevent cardiometabolic
diseases: epidemiologic and experimental studies. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 99, 1235S-1242S.

- Baena, V., Rodríguez, M. (2013). El impacto de la irrupción de la marca de distribuidor
  en la cartera de negocios de la marca de fabricante: Análisis del mercado de yogures
  español. DOCFRADIS 04/2013.
- Bocio, A., Nadal, M., Domingo, J. L. (2005). Human exposure to metals through the diet
  in Tarragona, Spain: temporal trend. *Biological Trace Element Research*, 104, 193201.
- Boada, L.D., Sangil, M., Alvarez-León, E.E., Hernández-Rodríguez, G., HenríquezHernández, L.A., Camacho, M., Zumbado, M., Serra-Majem, L., Luzardo. O.P.
  (2014). Consumption of foods of animal origin as determinant of contamination by
  organochlorine pesticides and polychlorobiphenyls: results from a populationbased study in Spain. *Chemosphere*, 114, 121-128.
- 294 Cano-Sancho, G., Marin, S., Ramos, A. J., Peris-Vicente, J., Sanchis, V. (2010).
  295 Occurrence of aflatoxin M-1 and exposure assessment in Catalonia (Spain). *Revista*296 *Iberoamericana de Micología*, 27, 130-135.
- Cleanthous, X., Mackintosh, A.-M., Anderson, S. (2008). Comparison of reported
  nutrients and serve size between private label products and branded products in
  Australian supermarkets. *Nutrition & Dietetics*, 68, 123-141.
- 300 Domingo, J. L. (1994). Metal-induced developmental toxicity in mammals: a review.
   301 *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health*, 42, 123-141.
- 302 Donovan, S.M., Shamir, R. (2014). Introduction to the yogurt in nutrition initiative and
  303 the First Global Summit on the health effects of yogurt. *The American Journal of*304 *Clinical Nutrition*, 99, 1209S-1211S.

- El-Abbadi, N. H., Dao, M. C., Meydani, S. N. (2014). Yogurt: role in healthy and active
  aging. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 99, 1263S-1270S.
- Ferré-Huguet, N., Marti-Cid, R., Schuhmacher, M., Domingo, J. L. (2008). Risk
  assessment of metals from consuming vegetables, fruits and rice grown on soils
  irrigated with waters of the Ebro River in Catalonia, Spain. *Biological Trace Element Research*, 123, 66-79.
- Ferré-Huguet, N., Nadal, M., Schuhmacher, M., Domingo, J. L. (2009). Monitoring
  metals in blood and hair of the population living near a hazardous waste incinerator:
  temporal trend. *Biological Trace Element Research*, 128, 191-199.
- Germani, A., Luneia, R., Nigro, F., Vitiello, V., Donini, L. M., del Balzo, V. (2014). The
  yogurt amino acid profile's variation during the shelf-life. *Annali di Igieni*, 26, 205212.
- Güler, Z., Sanal, H. (2009). The essential mineral concentration of Torba yoghurts and
  their wheys compared with yoghurt made with cows', ewes' and goats' milks. *International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition*, 60, 153-164.
- Jakob, E., Sievert, C., Sommer, S., Puhan, Z. (1995). [Automated determination of total
  nitrogen in milk by the Dumas method]. *Z Lebensm Unters Forsch*, 200, 239-243.
- Jensen, E., Bolger, P,M. (2001). Exposure assessment of dioxins/furans consumed in
  dairy foods and fish. *Food Additives & Contaminants*, 18, 395-403.
- Kanungo, L., Bacher, G., Bhand, S. (2014). Flow-based impedimetric immunosensor for
  aflatoxin analysis in milk products. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 174,
  1157-1165.

14

JFCA-D-14-00956

327	Kimoto-Nira, H., Nagakura, Y., Kodama, C., Shimizu, T., Okuta, M., Sasaki, K.,
328	Koikawa, N., Sakuraba, K., Suzuki, C., Suzuki, Y. (2014). Effects of ingesting milk
329	fermented by Lactococcus lactis H61 on skin health in young women: a
330	randomized double-blind study. Journal of Dairy Science, 97, 5898-5903.

- Llobet, J. M., Martí-Cid, R., Castell, V., Domingo, J. L. (2008). Significant decreasing
  trend in human dietary exposure to PCDD/PCDFs and PCBs in Catalonia, Spain. *Toxicology Letters*, 178, 117-126.
- MAGRAMA [Ministerio de Agricultura, Alimentación y Medio Ambiente]. (2013). Base
  de datos de consumo en hogares. Available at: http://goo.gl/Cwl9s7. (Accessed
  June, 2014).
- Manganiello, L., Rios, A., Valcarcel, M. (2000). Automatic microgravimetric
  determination of fats in milk products by use of supercritical fluid extraction with
  on-line piezoelectric detection. *Journal of Chromatography Part A*, 874, 265-274.
- MARM [Ministerio de Medio Ambiente y Medio Rural y Marino]. (2009). Estudio de
  mercado Observatorio del Comercio y la Distribución. Monográfico Marcas de
  Distribuidor. (Accessed June, 2014).
- Martí-Cid, R., Perelló, G., Domingo, J. L. (2009). Dietary exposure to metals by
  individuals living near a hazardous waste incinerator in Catalonia, Spain: temporal
  trend. *Biological Trace Element Research*, 131, 245-254.
- Martorell, I., Perelló, G., Marti-Cid, R., Llobet, J. M., Castell, V., Domingo, J. L. (2011).
  Human exposure to arsenic, cadmium, mercury, and lead from foods in Catalonia,
  Spain: temporal trend. *Biological Trace Element Research*, 142, 309-322.

15

- Navarro-Alarcón, M., Cabrera-Vique, C., Ruiz-López, M.D., Olalla, M., Artacho, R.,
  Giménez, R., Quintana, V., Bergillos, T. (2011). Levels of Se, Zn, Mg and Ca in
  commercial goat and cow milk fermented products: Relationship with their
  chemical composition and probiotic starter culture. *Food Chemistry*, 129, 11261131.
- Perelló, G., Martí-Cid, R., Castell, V., Llobet, J. M., Domingo, J. L. (2009).
  Concentrations of polybrominated diphenyl ethers, hexachlorobenzene and
  polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in various foodstuffs before and after cooking. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 47, 709-715.
- Perelló, G., Gómez-Catalan, J., Castell, V., Llobet, J. M., Domingo, J. L. (2012).
  Assessment of the temporal trend of the dietary exposure to PCDD/Fs and PCBs in
  Catalonia, over Spain: health risks. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 50, 399-408.
- Perelló, G., Llobet, J. M., Gómez-Catalan, J., Castell, V., Centrich, F., Nadal, M.,
  Domingo, J. L. (2014). Human Health Risks Derived from Dietary Exposure to
  Toxic Metals in Catalonia, Spain: Temporal Trend. *Biological Trace Element Research*, 162, 26-37.
- 365 Sharma, R. K., Agrawal, M. (2005). Biological effects of heavy metals: an overview.
  366 *Journal of Environmental Biology*, 26, 301-313.
- 367 Srivastava, V.P., Bu-Abbas, A., Alaa-Basuny, Al-Johar. W., Al-Mufti, S., Siddiqui. M.K.
- 368 (2001). Aflatoxin M1 contamination in comercial samples of milk and dairy
   369 products in Kuwait. *Food Additives & Contaminants*, 18, 993-997.
- Tunick, M.H., van Hekken, D.L. (2015). Dairy products and health: Recent insights. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, DOI: 10.1021/jf5042454 (in press).

- UNEP (United Nations Environment Program). (2008). Stockholm Convention on
  Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs). Available at: http://www.pops.int/ (Accessed
  June 4, 2011).
- 375 Van den Berg, M., Birnbaum, L. S., Denison, M., De Vito, M., Farland, W., Feeley, M.,
- 376 Fiedler, H., Hakansson, H., Hanberg, A., Haws, L., Rose, M., Safe, S., Schrenk,
- 377 D., Tohyama, C., Tritscher, A., Tuomisto, J., Tysklind, M., Walker, N., Peterson,
- 378 R. E. (2006). The 2005 World Health Organization reevaluation of human and
- 379 Mammalian toxic equivalency factors for dioxins and dioxin-like compounds.
- 380 *Toxicological Science*, 93, 223-241.
- Wang, H., Livingston, K. A., Fox, C. S., Meigs, J. B., Jacques, P. F. (2013). Yogurt
  consumption is associated with better diet quality and metabolic profile in
  American men and women. *Nutrition Research*, 33, 18-26.

Yogurt sample		Ash (%)	Fat (%)	Carbohydrates (%)	Humidity (%)	Protein (%)
PLY	M1	0.4	2.8	4.6	88.6	3.7
	M2	0.6	2.2	5.1	88.7	3.4
	M3	0.4	0.8	6.2	89.3	3.5
	M4	0.3	5.0	2.6	88.8	3.6
BY	M5	0.5	2.7	5.0	88.6	3.4
	M6	0.4	3.6	3.4	89.3	3.4

# Table 1. Nutritional composition of the main yogurt brands marketed in Catalonia, Spain(%). Comparison between private label (PLY) and branded yogurts (BY).

Values are means of 3 composite samples pooled, in turn, from 20 individual yogurts from 3 different series.

Yogur sampl	t e	2,3,7,8- TCDD	1,2,3,7,8- PeCDD	1,2,3,4,7,8- HxCDD	1,2,3,6,7,8- HxCDD	1,2,3,7,8,9- HxCDD	1,2,3,4,6,7,8- HpCDD	OCDD	2,3,7,8- TCDF	1,2,3,7,8- PeCDF	2,3,4,7,8- PeCDF	1,2,3,4,7,8- HxCDF	1,2,3,6,7,8- HxCDF	2,3,4,6,7,8- HxCDF	1,2,3,7,8,9- HxCDF	1,2,3,4,6,7,8- HpCDF	1,2,3,4,7,8,9- HpCDF	OCDF	WHO- TEQ
PLY	M1	0.0004 <sup>a</sup>	0.002	0.001	0.004	0.002	0.011	0.029 <sup>b</sup>	0.005	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.002 <sup>a</sup>	0.001	0.001	0.027	0.002 <sup>a</sup>	0.024 <sup>a</sup>	0.006
	M2	0.001 <sup>b</sup>	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.013	0.044 <sup>c</sup>	0.002	0.001	0.003	0.003	0.002 <sup>a</sup>	0.002	0.001	0.084	0.003 <sup>b</sup>	0.071 <sup>b</sup>	0.007
	M3	0.001 <sup>b</sup>	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.013	0.045 <sup>c</sup>	0.002	0.003	0.005	0.005	0.004 <sup>b</sup>	0.004	0.001	0.104	0.003 <sup>b</sup>	0.076 <sup>b</sup>	0.008
	M4	0.002 <sup>b</sup>	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.004	0.007	0.020 <sup>a</sup>	0.001	0.001	0.003	0.003	0.001°	0.002	0.001	0.026	0.002ª	0.020 <sup>a</sup>	0.008
BY	M5	0.001 <sup>b</sup>	0.002	0.002	0.004	0.003	0.010	0.021 <sup>ab</sup>	0.002	0.001	0.003	0.003	0.002 <sup>a</sup>	0.003	0.0003	0.030	0.002 <sup>ab</sup>	0.018 <sup>a</sup>	0.007
	M6	0.001 <sup>b</sup>	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.008	0.009 <sup>a</sup>	0.002	0.002	0.004	0.002	0.002 <sup>a</sup>	0.002	0.001	0.024	0.002 <sup>a</sup>	0.017 <sup>a</sup>	0.007

# Table 2. Mean concentrations of PCDD/Fs in some of the yoghurt brands mainly consumed in Catalonia, Spain (ng/kg). Comparison between private label (PLY) and branded yogurts (BY).

a,b,c Superscripts mean statistically significant differences between brands according to the Kruskal-Wallis test (p<0.05).

#### Cano-Sancho et al.

Table 3. Concentrations of PCBs in	some of the yogurt brands mainly	consumed in Catalonia,	Spain (ng/kg).	Comparison between private lal	el
(PLY) and branded yogurts (BY).					

Yogu	rt	PCB	PCB	PCB	PCB	PCB	PCB	PCB	PCB	PCB	PCB	PCB	PCB	PCB	PCB	PCB	PCB	PCB	PCB	Total	WHO-
samp	le	28	52	77	81	101	105	114	118	123	126	138	153	156	157	167	169	180	189	PCBs	TEQ
PLY	M1	6.583	3.650	0.538ª	0.039ª	1.300	0.830	0.080 <sup>a</sup>	2.983	0.080 <sup>a</sup>	0.050ª	3.883	5.050	0.390ª	0.393ª	0.020ª	0.080 <sup>a</sup>	2.217	0.080 <sup>a</sup>	17.48	0.006
	M2	2.133	3.100	1.200 <sup>b</sup>	0.055 <sup>b</sup>	1.417	0.950	0.108 <sup>c</sup>	4.133	0.108 <sup>b</sup>	0.092 <sup>a</sup>	7.933	11.53	0.548 <sup>b</sup>	0.548 <sup>b</sup>	0.027 <sup>b</sup>	0.108 <sup>b</sup>	6.900	0.108 <sup>b</sup>	34.57	0.010
	M3	1.750	3.267	1.433 <sup>b</sup>	0.062 <sup>b</sup>	1.250	1.267	0.125 <sup>c</sup>	4.167	0.125 <sup>b</sup>	0.107 <sup>a</sup>	5.900	8.367	0.617 <sup>b</sup>	0.617 <sup>b</sup>	0.031 <sup>b</sup>	0.125 <sup>b</sup>	3.833	0.270 <sup>bc</sup>	26.86	0.012
	M4	2.300	2.733	0.833ª	0.045 <sup>ab</sup>	0.900	0.903	0.090 <sup>ab</sup>	2.400	0.090 <sup>ab</sup>	0.052 <sup>a</sup>	3.633	5.400	0.452 <sup>ab</sup>	0.452 <sup>a</sup>	0.023 <sup>a</sup>	0.090 <sup>a</sup>	2.733	0.452 <sup>c</sup>	17.72	0.006
BY	M5	1.817	2.017	0.425 <sup>a</sup>	0.048 <sup>b</sup>	0.967	1.470	0.135 <sup>bc</sup>	5.733	0.097 <sup>ab</sup>	0.055ª	7.100	8.700	0.702 <sup>b</sup>	0.475 <sup>ab</sup>	0.024 <sup>ab</sup>	0.155 <sup>ab</sup>	4.167	0.518 <sup>c</sup>	30.35	0.007
	M6	0.867	0.867	0.087 <sup>a</sup>	0.043 <sup>ab</sup>	0.867	1.150	0.087 <sup>b</sup>	2.300	0.087 <sup>ab</sup>	0.022 <sup>b</sup>	3.800	5.433	0.432 <sup>ab</sup>	0.087 <sup>b</sup>	0.022ª	0.432 <sup>c</sup>	2.833	0.432 <sup>c</sup>	18.03	0.003

a,b,c Superscripts mean statistically significant differences between brands according to Kruskal-Wallis test (p < 0.05).

#### Cano-Sancho et al.

			Pl	LY		В	Y
Metals and minerals	Limit of detection	M1	M2	M3	M4	M5	M6
Ag	0.025	< 0.025	< 0.025	< 0.025	< 0.025	< 0.025	< 0.03
Al	0.50	1.07	0.67	4.19	1.30	0.87	0.53
As	0.0005	0.008	0.01	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.008
Ва	0.025	0.09 <sup>a</sup>	0.05 <sup>b</sup>	0.05 <sup>ab</sup>	$0.10^{a}$	0.06 <sup>ab</sup>	0.09 <sup>a</sup>
Ca	12.50	1134 <sup>a</sup>	930 <sup>b</sup>	1020 <sup>b</sup>	1103 <sup>a</sup>	1023 <sup>a</sup>	1095 <sup>a</sup>
Cd	0.0001	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Co	0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Cr	0.0005	0.13	0.03	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.15
Cu	0.0005	1.68	0.12	0.20	0.11	0.09	0.09
Fe	0.50	1.69	1.34	1.36	1.41	1.34	1.13
Hg	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Κ	125	1566	1363	1490	1523	1450	1513
Mg	25	105.88	90.95	98.08	103.32	97.15	105.67
Mn	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.07	0.04	< 0.05
Ni	0.005	0.08	0.03	0.16	0.04	0.03	0.04
Na	1250	<1250	<1250	<1250	<1250	<1250	<1250
Р	125	858	627	666	739	731	766
Pb	0.0002	0.09	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02
Se	0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50
Sb	0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Sn	0.005	0.03a	0.01 <sup>b</sup>	$0.02^{ab}$	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
Sr	0.05	0.53	0.80	0.65	0.59	0.66	0.60
V	0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25
Zn	0.025	4.31	2.76	2.56	3.87	4.31	3.21

Table 4. Mean concentrations of metals and minerals in some of the yogurt brands mainly consumed in Catalonia, Spain (µg/g). Comparison between private label (PLY) and branded yogurts (BY).

 $\frac{\text{Zn}}{\text{a,b}} \frac{0.025}{\text{Superscripts mean significant differences between brands according to Kruskal-Wallis test ($p < 0.05$)}$ 

#### Table 5. Pearson correlation's matrix with a selection of the most relevant pairs of chemicals.

					PCDD/Fs	Total	PCBs												
		Fat	СН	Protein	(WHO-TEQ)	PCBs	(WHO-TEQ)	As	Ba	Ca	Cr	Cu	K	Mg	Р	Pb	Sn	Sr	Zn
Fat	PCC	1.00	-0.96	0.43	0.15	-0.14	-0.30	-0.35	0.36	0.50	0.18	-0.38	0.38	0.45	0.37	-0.35	0.19	-0.43	0.19
	p-value		<0.01	0.08	0.54	0.57	0.23	0.16	0.14	0.04	0.46	0.12	0.12	0.06	0.13	0.15	0.45	0.08	0.45
СН	PCC		1.00	-0.49	-0.14	0.03	0.25	0.46	-0.24	-0.510	-0.18	0.45	-0.40	-0.44	-0.37	0.43	0.12	0.56	-0.13
	p-value			0.04	0.58	0.91	0.33	0.06	0.34	0.03	0.48	0.06	0.10	0.07	0.13	0.08	0.63	0.02	0.62
Protein	PCC			1.00	-0.03	0.04	-0.01	-0.37	0.04	0.59	0.18	-0.01	0.54	0.45	0.57	0.01	0.22	-0.48	0.47
	p-value				0.90	0.87	0.98	0.13	0.88	0.01	0.48	0.97	0.02	0.06	0.01	0.98	0.38	0.04	0.05
PCDD/Fs	PCC				1.00	0.16	0.27	0.19	-0.24	-0.03	-0.30	-0.16	0.09	0.02	-0.05	-0.17	-0.32	0.06	-0.03
(WHO-TEQ)	p-value					0.51	0.28	0.46	0.34	0.92	0.22	0.51	0.71	0.93	0.85	0.51	0.19	0.81	0.9
Total PCBs	PCC					1.00	0.67	0.07	-0.81	-0.36	-0.28	-0.48	-0.25	-0.41	-0.40	-0.50	-0.05	0.25	-0.24
	p-value						<0.01	0.79	<0.01	0.14	0.26	0.04	0.32	0.09	0.10	0.04	0.86	0.33	0.34
PCBs	PCC						1.00	0.19	-0.59	-0.28	-0.57	-0.25	-0.08	-0.32	-0.48	-0.30	0.20	0.36	-0.40
(WHO-TEQ)	p-value							0.46	0.01	0.25	0.01	0.32	0.74	0.20	0.04	0.23	0.43	0.14	0.10
As	PCC							1.00	-0.16	-0.57	-0.56	-0.21	-0.51	-0.46	-0.58	-0.23	-0.46	0.68	-0.37
	p-value								0.52	0.01	0.01	0.39	0.03	0.05	0.01	0.37	0.05	<0.01	0.13
Ba	PCC								1.00	0.46	0.42	0.46	0.26	0.48	0.44	0.47	0.07	-0.21	0.33
	p-value									0.05	0.08	0.05	0.29	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.79	0.40	0.17
Ca	PCC									1.00	0.49	0.12	0.95	0.95	0.76	0.13	0.35	-0.58	0.55
	p-value										0.04	0.63	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.60	0.16	0.01	0.02
Cr	PCC										1.00	0.35	0.27	0.42	0.65	0.37	0.09	-0.44	0.63
	p-value											0.16	0.27	0.09	<0.01	0.13	0.71	0.07	0.01
Cu	PCC											1.00	0.07	0.12	0.35	0.99	0.44	0.00	0.44
	p-value												0.78	0.64	0.15	<0.01	0.07	0.99	0.07
K	PCC												1.00	0.93	0.62	0.07	0.38	-0.46	0.44
	p-value													<0.01	0.01	0.78	0.11	0.05	0.07
Mg	PCC													1.00	0.70	0.13	0.25	-0.41	0.42
	p-value														<0.01	0.61	0.31	0.09	0.08
Р	PCC														1.00	0.40	0.36	-0.66	0.66
	p-value															0.10	0.14	<0.01	<0.01
Pb	PCC															1.00	0.44	-0.03	0.46
	p-value																0.07	0.91	0.05
Sn	PCC																1.00	-0.38	0.20
	p-value																	0.12	0.42
Sr	PCC																	1.00	-0.41
	p-value																		0.09

PCC. Pearson's correlation coefficient. Correlations with *p*-value below 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant (bold numbers).