

1 **Running title:** Telomere length and sperm quality

2 **Title: Is telomere length a biomarker of sperm quality? A**
3 **systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies**

4

5 **Authors:**

6 María Fernández de la Puente, MSc, ^{1,2,3*}, Albert Salas-Huetos, PhD, ^{2,3,4,5*#}, Cristina Valle-
7 Hita, MSc, ^{1,2,3}, Nancy Babio, PhD, ^{1,2,3}, Michelle M. Murphy, PhD, ^{1,4}, Silvia Canudas, PhD,
8 ^{6,7#}, Jordi Salas-Salvadó, MD, PhD, ^{1,2,3}

9

10 **Affiliations:**

11 ¹ Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Departament de Bioquímica i Biotecnologia, Unitat de Nutrició
12 Humana, Reus, Spain.

13 ² Consorcio CIBER, M.P. Fisiopatología de la Obesidad y Nutrición (CIBERObn), Instituto de
14 Salud Carlos III (ISCIII), Madrid, Spain.

15 ³ Institut d'Investigació Sanitària Pere Virgili (IISPV), Hospital Universitari San Joan de Reus,
16 Reus, Spain

17 ⁴ Unit of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences,
18 IISPV, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Reus, Spain.

19 ⁵ Department of Nutrition, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA.

20 ⁶ Department of Nutrition, Food Sciences and Gastronomy, School of Pharmacy and Food
21 Sciences, Food Torribera Campus, University of Barcelona, Santa Coloma de Gramenet, Spain.

22 ⁷ Institute of Nutrition and Food Safety of the University of Barcelona (INSA-UB), Santa Coloma
23 de Gramenet, Spain.

24

25 * Should be considered similar in author order.

26 # Corresponding authors. Should be considered similar in author order.

27

28 **Corresponding authors contact information:** Albert Salas-Huetos. Unit of Preventive
29 Medicine and Public Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, IISPV, Universitat
30 Rovira i Virgili, Reus, Spain. Email: albert.salas@urv.cat. Tel: 977759379

31 Silvia Canudas, Department of Nutrition, Food Sciences and Gastronomy, School of Pharmacy
32 and Food Sciences, Food Torribera Campus, University of Barcelona, E-08921 Santa Coloma de
33 Gramenet, Spain. Email: silvia.canudas@ub.edu Tel: +34934020862

34

35 **ORCID corresponding authors:** 0000-0001-5914-6862 (AS-H) and 0000-0002-5630-1588
36 (SC)

37 **Emails of all the authors:**

38 María Fernández de la Puente, maria.fernandezdelapuente@urv.cat

39 Albert Salas-Huetos, albert.salas@urv.cat

40 Cristina Valle-Hita, cristina.valle@urv.cat

41 Nancy Babio, nancy.babio@urv.cat

42 Michelle M. Murphy, michelle.murphy@urv.cat

43 Silvia Canudas, silvia.canudas@ub.edu

44 Jordi Salas-Salvadó, jordi.salas@urv.cat

45

46 **Key words:** sperm, leukocyte, telomere length, biomarker, sperm quality

47 **Article type:** Systematic review with meta-analysis

48 **Funding statement:** This study was funded by Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII) through the
49 project PI21/01447 and co-funded by the European Union. This work was partially supported
50 by ICREA under the ICREA Academia programme. M.F. was supported by a predoctoral grant
51 from the Rovira i Virgili University (2020-PMF-PIPF-8), CV-H receives a predoctoral grant
52 from the Generalitat de Catalunya (2023 FI-3 00108).

53 **Conflicts of Interest:** None.

54 **Authors' Contribution statement:** Conceptualization: MFdlP, AS-H, CV-H, SC, JS-S; Data
55 curation: MFdlP, CV-H; Formal analysis: MFdlP, AS-H, CV-H; Investigation: MFdlP, AS-H,
56 CV-H; Methodology: MFdlP, AS-H, CV-H; Visualization: MFdlP, AS-H; Writing original
57 draft: MFdlP; Writing review and editing: AS-H, CV-H, NB, MMM, SC, JS-S; Funding
58 acquisition: JS-S; Supervision: AS-H NB, MMM, SC, JS-S. All authors reviewed the results
59 and approved the final version of the manuscript.

60 **Data sharing statement:** The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current
61 study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

62 **ABSTRACT**

63 **Background:** Telomeres are essential for the integrity of chromosome ends during cell division
64 and their involvement in different processes linked to aging has been established. These
65 chromosome components are involved in spermatogenesis and seem to play an important role in
66 fertilization and embryo development. Telomere length is shortened with each cell division.
67 Recently, short sperm telomere length has been proposed as a potential biomarker of male
68 infertility.

69 **Objectives:** To conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis of studies exploring the
70 association between sperm and/or leukocyte telomere length with sperm quality parameters and
71 different infertility conditions.

72 **Material and methods:** A systematic review and meta-analysis was conducted with studies from
73 Medline-PUBMED and Cochrane Library databases until May 2022. Eligible studies included
74 cohort, cross-sectional and case-control studies, and telomere length in sperm and/or leukocytes
75 cells was defined as the exposure. Semen quality parameters or infertility conditions (e.g.,
76 oligozoospermia, asthenozoospermia, teratozoospermia, or other spermatogenic impairment
77 combinations) were defined as the outcomes.

78 **Results:** Twenty-four observational studies were included. In the qualitative analysis, high
79 heterogeneity was observed between studies regarding the associations between telomere length
80 and semen parameters in different normozoospermic/fertile and oligozoospermic/infertile
81 populations. In the meta-analysis, sperm and leukocyte telomere length were shorter in infertile
82 compared to fertile individuals (mean difference, MD; 95% confidence interval, CI) (-1.43; -1.66
83 to -1.21; P-value<0.001, and -1.67; -2.02 to -1.31; P-value<0.001, respectively). Moreover, in
84 terms of sperm telomere length, these differences were also significant between individuals with
85 a normal seminogram and individuals with low quantity of spermatozoa in the ejaculate (-0.97; -
86 1.32, -0.61; P-value<0.001).

87 **Conclusion:** The current systematic review and meta-analysis suggest the potential role of sperm
88 or leukocyte telomere length as a reliable biomarker of semen quality, which may help distinguish
89 between infertility conditions beyond the routine semen analysis.

90 **Registration number:** PROSPERO CRD42021227690.

91 **1. Introduction**

92 Infertility is a disease of the reproductive system leading to the failure to achieve pregnancy after
93 12 months or more of regular unprotected sexual intercourse, according to the World Health
94 Organization (WHO) (World Health Organization (WHO) 2018). Currently, infertility affects 8-
95 12% of the world's population and over the last years this condition has increased ². Globally, of
96 couples affected by infertility, male factors are responsible for 40-50% of cases ³. Research on
97 infertility is therefore important and quality of life and mental health of populations may also
98 benefit ⁴.

99 Oxidation and inflammation processes have a recognized influence on sperm quality parameters
100 and consequently to male fertility due to the susceptibility of spermatozoa to oxidative stress (OS)
101 ⁵. The pathological characteristics of oxidative molecules, such as reactive oxygen species (ROS),
102 can lead to impairments in the male reproductive system resulting from imbalance between
103 oxidant production and antioxidant capacity. A comparative study showed that seminal ROS
104 concentrations were higher in infertile men compared to healthy sperm donors and in infertile
105 men with all abnormal sperm parameters compared to infertile men with normal sperm parameters
106 ⁶. Therefore, investigating the biological mechanisms implicated in infertility beyond
107 conventional sperm parameter analysis and identifying reliable biomarkers of infertility is of
108 clinical relevance.

109 Telomeres are repetitive DNA sequences and specialized proteins in the end of the eukaryote
110 chromosome, whose main function is to maintain genome integrity ⁷. With each cell division
111 under normal conditions, a small fragment of telomeric DNA is lost, leading to the activation of
112 a DNA damage response that induces replicative senescence anticipating the onset of age-related
113 diseases ⁸. Telomeric structures are susceptible to oxidation processes due to their high guanine
114 content, thus leading to accelerated telomere shortening ⁹. Telomere length (TL) is mainly
115 regulated by a reverse transcriptase, called telomerase, that adds 5'-TTAGGG-3' sequences in
116 tandem ¹⁰ and whose activity decreases progressively during embryonic differentiation in somatic

117 tissues. However, in male germ cells, this activity is maintained until spermatogenesis, resulting
118 in longer telomeres in spermatozoa than other cell types ¹⁰.

119 TL has been consolidated as a hallmark of processes linked to aging as oxidation, inflammation,
120 epigenetic regulation, or mitochondrial dysfunction, among others ¹¹. Furthermore, in recent
121 decades, telomere function has been investigated as a potential biomarker of infertility due to their
122 apparently important role in fertilization and embryo development ¹². A recent systematic review
123 and meta-analysis of observational studies evaluating sperm telomere length TL as a biomarker
124 of embryonic development revealed that higher sperm TL was associated with higher probability
125 of pregnancy, but not with fertilization rate ¹³. However, the study has design limitations in the
126 evaluation of the associations between sperm TL and sperm quality.

127 Recently, observational studies measuring telomere length as a marker of infertility in men ^{14,15}
128 or evaluating the relationship between telomere length and sperm-related parameters ^{16,17} have
129 increased. The main aim of the present study is to conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis
130 of: a) cross-sectional studies exploring the association between sperm and/or leukocyte telomere
131 length with sperm quality parameters, and, b) case-control studies comparing telomere length in
132 populations with different semen abnormalities or (in) fertility. Our main hypothesis is that
133 telomere length is a biomarker of semen quality and male infertility and can complement the
134 analysis of other parameters predicting semen quality.

135

136 **2. Methods**

137 **2.1 Protocol and registration**

138 The protocol of the systematic review and meta-analysis was registered in the international
139 prospective register of systematic reviews PROSPERO
140 (<https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero>) with the code CRD42021227690.

141 **2.2 Literature search strategy**

142 A literature search of human studies published in English was carried out in both MEDLINE-
143 PubMed and Cochrane Library databases from the earliest available indexing year until May
144 2022. In order to obtain a reference list of the articles we performed a systematic search of two
145 subsets of Medical Subject Heading terms and keywords: the first subset comprised telomere-
146 related terms [telomere OR telomere shortening OR telomere homeostasis OR telomerase OR
147 telomere length OR telomerase activity OR telomere maintenance] and the second subset
148 comprised keywords related to seminogram alterations or infertility [spermatozoa OR
149 spermatogenesis OR sperm motility OR sperm count OR sperm maturation OR sperm
150 capacitation OR semen OR semen analysis OR infertility, male OR oligospermia OR aspermia
151 OR asthenozoospermia OR azoospermia OR teratozoospermia OR sperm OR semen quality OR
152 oligozoospermia OR oligoasthenozoospermia OR oligoasthenoteratozoospermia OR male
153 fertility OR sperm dysfunction OR spermatogenesis OR protamine deficiency OR sperm
154 parameters OR sperm DNA fragmentation OR sperm DNA damage OR varicocele OR non
155 obstructive azoospermia OR erectile dysfunction OR sperm DNA extraction OR spermatozoa
156 abnormality OR sperm chromosomal abnormalities]. In **Supplemental Information 1** the
157 complete search strategy is available.

158 **2.3 Eligibility criteria and study selection**

159 In a preliminary screening, two independent researchers screened titles and abstracts for eligibility
160 (MF, CVH), and the discrepancies were re-evaluated by two other authors (AS-H and SC).
161 Eligible studies included in the systematic review and meta-analysis were those with a cohort
162 design, cross-sectional and case-control studies. Articles defining telomere length in sperm and/or
163 leukocyte cells as exposure, and semen quality parameters (volume, ejaculate pH, total sperm
164 count or concentration, sperm vitality, sperm motility, sperm morphology) or seminogram
165 alterations (oligospermia, aspermia, asthenozoospermia, azoospermia, teratozoospermia,
166 oligozoospermia, oligoasthenozoospermia, oligoasthenoteratozoospermia, varicocele, non-

167 obstructive azoospermia) as outcomes were included in this systematic review and meta-analyzed
168 when the number of studies were three or more. Studies including data regarding sperm DNA
169 fragmentation, sperm DNA damage or protamine deficiency were included in this systematic
170 review with these endpoints as secondary outcomes. Study exclusion criteria were as follows:
171 ecological, retrospective, methodological or case report studies; review or meta-analysis articles;
172 animal or *in vitro* studies; studies without describing telomere length as exposure and without
173 semen quality parameters or fertility outcomes as endpoints. Finally, non-original articles (letters,
174 commentaries, viewpoints, summaries, editorials), abstracts, symposium presentations or invited
175 lectures, guidelines or scientific statements, and special articles were also excluded.

176 **2.4 Data extraction**

177 A standardized model was used to extract the information from each study: title, type of
178 publication, first author, journal and year of publication, study design and period, sample size and
179 participant's disease status, age, country of origin and city or place of recruitment, endpoint data,
180 statistical analysis performed and main conclusions.

181 The main exposure of this study, TL, can be reported in relative (telomere (T) to single-copy gene
182 (S) sequence (T/S) ratio) or absolute (bp) units. TL was mainly reported in relative units, so we
183 contacted the corresponding authors of studies reporting absolute units to transform them into
184 relative units, to standardize data.

185 **2.5 Study quality assessment**

186 The National heart, Lung and Blood Quality Assessment Tool for Observational cohort and
187 Cross-Sectional and for Case-Control studies was used to evaluate the risk of bias of the articles
188 included in this systematic review and meta-analysis ([https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-
189 topics/study-quality-assessment-tools](https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-topics/study-quality-assessment-tools); accessed on 6 March, 2023). Two independent researchers
190 (MF, CVH) assessed the risk of bias, and the discrepancies were re-evaluated by two other authors
191 (AS-H and SC). The studies were categorized as good, fair or poor depending on the overall

192 quality score. Cross sectional studies with a score between 0-5 points and case-control studies
193 with a score between 0-4 were considered low-quality and therefore excluded.

194 **2.6 Statistical and sensitivity analysis**

195 When three or more articles analyzed the same exposure and outcome, the results were meta-
196 analyzed. Meta-analyses were conducted using the *meta* package for R 4.2.2 statistical software
197 and Review Manager 5.4 in accordance with Cochrane guidelines¹⁸. The mean difference (MD)
198 and 95% confidence interval (CI) were computed from the mean and standard deviation (SD)
199 extracted in each study included. If other data distribution values (e.g., median, SEM, or IQR)
200 were presented in the original studies, they were recalculated to mean and SD. Values were
201 obtained by two authors (MF and CVH) and checked by another author (ASH). Fixed effect
202 models were used to obtain summary MD and 95% CI of the studies analyzed. Statistical
203 significance level was set at $p < 0.05$ (two-tailed). Chi-square tests and the I^2 index were used to
204 evaluate heterogeneity between studies and in this case the significance level was set at $p < 0.1$. I^2
205 values $< 50\%$ were considered moderate, $\geq 50\%$ to $< 75\%$ were considered substantial, and $\geq 75\%$
206 were considered of considerable heterogeneity. To evaluate the robustness of our findings,
207 sensitivity analyses using random effect models were performed.

208

209 **3. Results**

210 **3.1 Article selection**

211 Study selection, identification, screening, inclusion and exclusion processes are summarized in
212 **Figure I**. Following a primary search, screening of the titles and abstracts of 681 articles led to
213 37 studies that were eligible for inclusion. At this point, two studies not in English or unavailable
214 for download were excluded. Following full-text screening, 12 studies were excluded for a) only
215 including processed sperm data (density gradient centrifugation and/or swim-up); b) being *in vitro*

216 experiments; c) including a specific selected population highly exposed to pollutants; or d) being
217 reviews or hypothesis analysis articles. Finally, 23 cross-sectional or case-control studies were
218 included for analyses.

219 **3.2 Study characteristics**

220 The characteristics of the cross-sectional and case-control studies included in the analyses are
221 presented in **Table 1** and **Table 2**, respectively. The studies were conducted mainly in China
222 (n=3), the United Kingdom (n=1), India (n=3), Iran (n=5), Israel (n=1), Italy (n=5), France (n=1),
223 and Spain (n=4) with sample sizes ranging from 20 to 239 participants in the case of cross-
224 sectional studies, and from 20 to 866 for case-control studies. Fertile and/or infertile populations
225 were included with ages ranging from 18 to 52 years.

226 **3.3 Qualitative analysis**

227 **3.3.1 Results of cross-sectional studies**

228 A total of 17 cross-sectional studies were selected for the qualitative analysis. Four articles
229 included fertile men only, four included infertile men, and ten included both.

230 **3.3.1.1 Fertile or normozoospermic men**

231 The study of the relationship between sperm TL and sperm parameters in fertile or
232 normozoospermic populations revealed contradictory results among the papers. In relation to
233 sperm vitality and motility, Rocca et al. reported positive correlations between sperm TL and
234 vitality and progressive motility in 100 normozoospermic subjects ¹⁹. No associations between
235 sperm TL and motility were reported in the other 2 studies including semen samples from 65
236 normozoospermic, and from 60 donor participants, respectively ^{16,20}. A positive correlation
237 between sperm TL and sperm concentration was reported by Torra-Massana et al., ²⁰ but this
238 association was not reported in the other two studies ^{16,19}. Regarding other sperm-related
239 parameters, no associations were observed between sperm TL and volume, count or morphology

240 in any of the three aforementioned studies. A study by Balmori et al., only observed a positive
241 correlation between sperm TL and sperm count, as well as total progressive motility, in the group
242 of 20 normozoospermic participants under 25 years of age ²¹.

243 Concerning secondary outcomes, a negative correlation between sperm TL with sperm DNA
244 fragmentation, and a positive correlation with normal sperm protamination was reported ¹⁹.

245 **3.3.1.2 Infertile population**

246 In relation to semen parameters, the study performed by Lafuente et al. showed in 42 infertile
247 participants, a positive correlation between sperm TL measured before sperm selection and
248 percentage of immotile spermatozoa ¹⁷. The investigators also reported a negative correlation
249 between sperm TL and progressive motility ¹⁷. However, Zhao and collaborators found a
250 significant but positive correlation between sperm TL and progressive motility in unprocessed
251 semen samples of 150 normozoospermic infertile patients ²². Lafuente et al. reported a negative
252 correlation between sperm TL and sperm concentration ¹⁷. Nevertheless, this association was not
253 significant in the case of sperm concentration or morphology in the analysis performed by Zhao
254 et al. ²². A positive correlation between sperm TL and sperm count was reported in two of the four
255 studies that have evaluated this endpoint ^{22,23}.

256 On the other hand, Thilagavathi et al. did not report any significant association between leukocyte
257 TL and sperm count or motility in 25 men from couples with a history of idiopathic recurrent
258 pregnancy loss (iRPL) ²⁴.

259 Three studies investigated the associations between sperm TL and secondary outcomes in infertile
260 populations. While Zhao and collaborators reported a negative correlation between sperm TL and
261 sperm DNA fragmentation index ²², no association between these two parameters was reported
262 by Lafuente et al. ¹⁷. Besides, no significant correlations between leukocyte TL and sperm DNA
263 fragmentation index were observed ²⁴.

264 **3.3.1.3 Populations including a mix of fertile and infertile or normozoospermic and**
265 **oligozoospermic subjects**

266 Ten studies have analysed the association between sperm TL and sperm quality parameters in
267 populations including fertile and infertile or normozoospermic and oligozoospermic subjects. A
268 positive correlation between sperm TL and sperm count was found in 81 subjects (61
269 normozoospermic and 20 idiopathic oligozoospermic) ¹⁴. This was also true in a similar
270 population including 54 normozoospermic and 19 oligozoospermic adults ¹⁵, as well as in the
271 study performed by Amirzadegan et al., in which 10 fertile and 10 oligozoospermic men were
272 included ²⁵. On the contrary, in a group of 32 idiopathic infertile men and 25 fertile controls,
273 sperm TL and sperm count were not correlated ²⁶. No associations were reported between sperm
274 TL and motility nor morphology in the aforementioned studies ^{15,25,26}. Two studies reported a
275 positive correlation between sperm TL and sperm concentration ^{25,27}, but in the case of
276 Darmishonnejad et al. (2018), they did not observe correlations between sperm TL and sperm
277 count, motility or abnormal morphology in 10 fertile and 10 infertile subjects ²⁷. In
278 Darmishonnejad et al.'s study (2020) no associations between relative sperm TL and different
279 semen-related parameters (concentration, motility and abnormal morphology) in 38 infertile and
280 19 fertile participants mixed together were found ²⁸. Besides, Gentiluomo et al.'s study, performed
281 in 239 participants, revealed no associations between sperm TL and semen-related parameters
282 (concentration, total number, motility and morphology) ²⁹. Only Tahamtan et al. reported a
283 positive correlation between sperm TL and spermatozoa motility in 20 fertile and 18 infertile men
284 with grade II or III varicocele. In relation to leukocyte TL, positive correlations with concentration
285 and sperm count were also shown in two studies ^{25,30}. Amirzadegan et al. also reported negative
286 associations between leukocyte TL and abnormal morphology ²⁵. In contrast, leukocyte TL was
287 not significantly related with sperm count ¹⁴ or with motility ²⁵.

288 With respect to secondary outcomes, one study did not observe a significant relationship between
289 sperm TL and sperm DNA fragmentation ²⁶. However, in three other articles, negative correlations

290 between sperm TL with DNA fragmentation ^{25,28,30} were observed. In addition, two studies
291 reported negative correlations between sperm or leukocyte TL and sperm protamination
292 deficiencies ^{25,30}.

293 **3.3.2 Results of case-control studies**

294 Sixteen studies evaluated the differences in sperm and leukocyte TL between controls and cases.

295 **3.3.2.1 Differences in sperm TL between normozoospermic (controls) and oligozoospermic** 296 **(cases) subjects**

297 Three studies clearly showed that the normozoospermic men had significantly longer telomeres
298 than the oligozoospermic patients ^{14,15,25}. Similarly, Balmori et al., reported significant differences
299 (in the same direction) in sperm TL between older normozoospermic and older oligozoospermic
300 participants, between younger normozoospermic and older normozoospermic participants and
301 between younger oligozoospermic and older normozoospermic participants ²¹.

302 **3.3.2.3 Differences in sperm TL between fertile (controls) and infertile (cases) subjects**

303 Four studies reported significantly longer sperm TL in fertile compared to infertile men ^{26-28,31},
304 even after adjustment for age ³². Besides, Rocca et al. observed longer sperm TL in 30 controls
305 compared to 35 men who underwent their first assisted reproductive procedure ³³. On the contrary,
306 Berby et al. reported no differences between control men and infertile men ³⁴. While significant
307 differences in sperm TL were observed between 18 infertile men with grade II or III varicocele
308 and 20 fertile men ³⁰, no differences were reported by Lara-Cerrillo et al. between 12 fertile donors
309 and 20 patients before microsurgical varicocelectomy (MV) and after MV ³⁵.

310 **3.3.2.4 Differences in leukocyte TL between fertile and infertile or normozoospermic and** 311 **oligozoospermic subjects**

312 Regarding TL in leukocytes, three studies reported lower leukocyte TL in infertile patients
313 compared to fertile men ^{24,28,36}. Similarly, longer leukocyte TL in 10 fertile men compared to 10
314 oligozoospermic patients was reported by Amirzadegan and collaborators ²⁵. On the other hand,
315 Yang et al., reported shorter leukocyte TL in 349 non-obstructive azoospermia patients than in
316 247 obstructive azoospermia patients and 270 normospermic men ³⁷, and results from the
317 Tahamtan et al. study showed significant lower leukocyte TL in men with infertility resulting
318 from varicocele compared to fertile controls ³⁰. Only two studies found no differences in leukocyte
319 TL neither between normozoospermic and oligozoospermic men ¹⁴ nor between fertile and
320 infertile subjects ²⁷.

321 **3.4 Quantitative analysis**

322 The relatively high number of case-control studies providing sufficient data and the homogeneity
323 between them led us to conduct three meta-analyses to test the associations between telomere
324 length in male sexual cells (spermatozoa) and somatic cells (leukocytes) and different case and
325 control populations. No quantitative analysis was carried out with the cross-sectional studies due
326 to the heterogeneity and insufficient data from these.

327 **Infertile vs. Fertile populations**

328 *Sperm telomere length*

329 Data from five studies were meta-analyzed to test the associations between sperm telomere length
330 and fertility group. In summary, the infertile group of patients had significantly shorter sperm
331 telomere length than the fertile group (MD; 95% CI) (-1.43; -1.66, -1.21; P-value<0.001).
332 However, there was evidence of considerable interstudy heterogeneity ($I^2=94%$, P-value<0.001)
333 (**Figure 2A**). Sensitivity analysis was consistent with the primary analysis (**Supplemental Figure**
334 **1A**).

335 *Leukocyte telomere length*

336 Analyzing data from four different studies testing the associations between leukocyte telomere
337 length and fertility group, showed that the infertile group had significantly shorter leukocyte
338 telomere length than the fertile group (MD; 95% CI) (-1.67; -2.02, -1.31; P-value<0.001). This
339 comparison displayed a considerable interstudy heterogeneity ($I^2=86%$, P-value<0.001) (**Figure**
340 **2B**). The results were consistent with those of the sensitivity analysis (**Supplemental Figure 1A**).

341 **Oligozoospermic vs. Normozoospermic populations**

342 *Sperm telomere length*

343 Data from three studies were meta-analyzed to test associations between sperm telomere length
344 and the WHO 2010 reference limits in sperm concentration or total sperm count; oligozoospermic
345 vs. normozoospermic patients. In summary, the oligozoospermic group of patients had shorter
346 sperm telomere length than the normozoospermic group (MD; 95% CI) (-0.97; -1.32, -0.61; P-
347 value<0.001). Interstudy heterogeneity was nonsignificant in this evaluation ($I^2=0$, P-value=0.51)
348 (**Figure 3**). The sensitivity analysis result was identical to the primary analysis (**Supplemental**
349 **Figure 2**).

350 **Discussion**

351 This systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies provides the most
352 comprehensive and up-to-date analysis of the associations between sperm and leukocyte TL and
353 sperm quality parameters and male fertility, to date. Our qualitative results showed high
354 heterogeneity in methodology evaluation and contradictory outcomes, making the identification
355 of clear patterns difficult. However, when data was comparable, the quantitative analysis revealed
356 that sperm and leukocyte TL are shorter in infertile compared to fertile men. Moreover, these
357 differences, in terms of sperm TL, are also significant between men with a normal seminogram
358 and those with a low quantity of ejaculate spermatozoa. This systematic review and meta-analysis
359 suggest the potential role of sperm and leukocyte telomere length as a biomarker of semen quality
360 which may help distinguish between different spermatogenic alterations beyond the routine
361 semen analysis. These results suggest that sperm and leukocyte TL may also be relevant
362 biomarkers to help discriminate fertility potential in cases with sperm quality parameters that are
363 within or close to the threshold values established by the WHO.

364 To the best of our knowledge, only Yuan et al.¹³ performed a systematic review and meta-analysis
365 evaluating the role of sperm telomere length as biomarker of male infertility and embryonic
366 development. However, only the data from studies that they meta-analyzed was summarized, the
367 qualitative results were omitted, and important limitations to their meta-analysis should be
368 highlighted. For example, in the main analysis, all normozoospermic individuals were considered
369 as fertile population and all oligozoospermic men as the infertile population without considering
370 their fertility status. However, in a secondary analysis, they appropriately compared fertile men
371 versus unexplained infertile men and reported similar results. Although the MD and 95% CI were
372 completely different, they also concluded that sperm TL is shorter in infertile compared to fertile
373 men.

374 In our study, we also compared sperm TL between normozoospermic and oligozoospermic men,
375 and it was shorter in the oligozoospermic population. It is important to mention that in the study

376 performed by Yuan et al., on some occasions, untransformed data to mean and SD was incorrectly
377 included in their meta-analysis. However, in our study we carefully estimated for each meta-
378 analyzed study the mean and SD values using the original data. Finally, in our study, we have not
379 only analyzed studies measuring sperm TL, but also those measuring TL in leukocytes, and our
380 results also revealed that infertile men had shorter TL in leukocytes compared to fertile men.

381 Although this was not the scope of our study, in the Yuan et al. systematic review and meta-
382 analysis, the capacity of sperm TL to predict the success of pregnancy outcome and embryo
383 development was also explored. A relationship between longer sperm TL and clinical pregnancy
384 was reported, whereas higher fertilization probabilities were not observed in men with higher
385 sperm TL. We recognize that in light of medical and social concerns, identifying biomarkers not
386 only of sperm quality but also of infertility and reproductive outcomes is essential. This will
387 provide valuable insights into possible clinical implications.

388 Two other studies not included in Yuan et al.'s meta-analysis should also be mentioned. Firstly,
389 in normozoospermic male partners of couples undergoing ART treatments, Berneau et al. reported
390 positive significant associations between sperm TL and the percentage of fertilization rate ¹⁶.
391 Secondly, regarding embryological parameters, sperm TL was positively associated with good
392 embryo quality and transplantable embryo rates in the men of couples undergoing IVF ³⁸. On the
393 contrary, no associations between sperm TL and embryological parameters (e.g. good embryo
394 cleavage, implantation rates or biochemical, clinical and ongoing pregnancy rates) were found ¹⁶.
395 Likewise, no associations were observed with clinical pregnancy and fertilization rates ³⁸. These
396 contradictory results might be explained by differences in the study design, population studied,
397 and/or unconsidered factors related to female partners, suggesting that evidence exploring the
398 associations between sperm TL and clinical and embryological outcomes is still needed.

399 The effect of parental age at conception has been studied since offspring conceived by older
400 parents have been found to have longer telomeres. Kimura et al. confirmed that paternal age was
401 positively associated with leukocyte TL from the offspring in four cohorts, and this may be related

402 to telomere characteristics in paternal sperm. Longer sperm TL was observed in older than
403 younger men³⁹. Moreover, when comparing the effect of maternal and paternal age at conception,
404 the major determinant of offspring leukocyte TL was paternal age, with longer leukocyte TL
405 observed in the offspring of older fathers⁴⁰. Ferlin et al. also explored the relationship between
406 maternal and paternal age with offspring sperm TL and reported longer sperm TL in the offspring
407 of older fathers and mothers. However, since there was a high correlation between paternal and
408 maternal ages, which contributed the most could not be determined¹⁴. The fact that paternal age
409 may act as a determining factor of human telomere dynamics, due to the inheritance of telomere
410 length to the offspring, demonstrates the important role of these structures in reproduction.
411 Therefore, sperm selection procedures of spermatozoa with longer telomeres in ART practices
412 may play a role in IVF success, but this must be extensively explored. Unfortunately, we could
413 not evaluate this because the studies did not report maternal and paternal age at conception.

414 Several methods are currently available for TL measurement and quantification, each with its own
415 advantages and limitations. These methods can be broadly categorized into four groups:
416 hybridization, Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR), Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization (FISH),
417 and mixed methods (e.g. hybridization/PCR combination), each providing different information
418 about TL. Terminal restriction fragment (TRF) analysis, the “gold standard”, is based on
419 hybridization techniques, and it is considered more accurate in providing absolute telomere length
420 measurements. However, it requires large amounts of genomic DNA and laborious processes,
421 making it less practical for large-scale population studies. PCR-based methods have become the
422 most popular method for large-scale studies due to their high-throughput capability, simplicity,
423 and because small amounts of DNA are required. These methods determine the ratio between the
424 telomere (T) and single-copy gene (S) signals, providing a proportional measure of relative
425 average TL. Nevertheless, these PCR-based methods often exhibit difficulties in standardization.
426 Methods based on Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization (e.g. Quantitative-FISH, Flow FISH) are
427 also used to determine TL. Because its low detection limit capacity, these techniques are
428 particularly useful for detecting short telomeres, which are highly indicative of cellular

429 senescence. These procedures can be suitable for large-scale studies to determine TL on fixed
430 lymphocytes but are time consuming and limited to specialized laboratories. Additionally, other
431 techniques, such as Single Telomere Length Analysis (STELA) or Telomere Shortest Length
432 Assay (TeSLA) are also used to quantify the shortest telomeres, but with low throughputs ⁴¹.

433 This study has several strengths that should be highlighted. This is the first comprehensive
434 systematic review and meta-analysis that has meta-analyzed sperm and leukocyte TL
435 differentiating between fertility conditions and seminogram alterations: fertile vs. infertile, and
436 normozoospermic vs. oligozoospermic individuals, separately. Secondly, in the meta-analysis, we
437 only included studies measuring telomere length by quantitative PCR technique as a mode of
438 standardizing the results. Thirdly, in this systematic review and meta-analysis we did not include
439 studies using sperm selection procedures as we understand that TL measurement would not be
440 representative of the whole sperm population. However, our work has also some limitations that
441 should be considered. Relatively few studies evaluating sperm and leukocyte TL as a biomarker
442 of infertility have been carried out. Besides, we could not meta-analyze cross-sectional data due
443 to the lack of information provided despite trying to obtain this through contact with the
444 corresponding authors. Unfortunately, due to the limited number of published studies we cannot
445 conduct a sensitivity analysis to consider differences between the populations of the studies
446 included with regard to age, sample size, or other factors that could affect TL. Finally, it is worth
447 mentioning that although positive associations were observed between TL and conventional
448 sperm quality parameters, its translation into better reproductive outcomes (e.g., clinical
449 pregnancy or live birth) remains unanswered and deserves further studies.

450 In conclusion, our study presents a global review of the evidence available regarding the
451 associations between telomere length and semen quality parameters and differences in TL
452 between different populations based on their seminogram abnormalities or fertility. Our results
453 suggest that telomere length has the potential to be used as a biomarker of sperm quality and male
454 infertility. However further and larger studies are warranted in the future to increase the certainty
455 of evidence of a potential relationship between TL, semen quality and fertility. Finally, if TL is a

456 proxy of sperm quality or fertility potential (or vice versa) should be tested using molecular and
457 *in-vitro* experiments.

458 **Acknowledgements:** We acknowledge support of the Spanish Ministry of Science Innovation
459 and Universities through the “Maria Maeztu Unit of Excellence Program” granted to INSA
460 (Grant CEX2021-001234-M) funded by MICIN/AEI/FEDER, UE).

461 **Authors' Contribution statement:** Conceptualization: MFdlP, AS-H, CV-H, SC, JS-S; Data
462 curation: MFdlP, CV-H; Formal analysis: MFdlP, AS-H, CV-H; Investigation: MFdlP, AS-H,
463 CV-H; Methodology: MFdlP, AS-H, CV-H; Visutalization: MFdlP, AS-H; Writing original
464 draft: MFdlP; Writing review and editing: AS-H, CV-H, NB, MMM, SC, JS-S; Funding
465 adquisition: JS-S; Supervision: AS-H NB, MMM, SC, JS-S. All authors reviewed the results
466 and approved the final version of the manuscript.

467 **Funding:** This study has been funded by Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII) through the
468 project PI21/01447 and co-funded by the European Union. This work is partially supported by
469 ICREA under the ICREA Academia programme. M.F. was supported by a predoctoral grant
470 from the Rovira i Virgili University (2020-PMF-PIPF-8), CV-H receives a predoctoral grant
471 from the Generalitat de Catalunya (2023 FI-3 00108).

472 **Conflicts of Interest:** None.

473 **Data sharing statement:** The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current
474 study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

475 **Bibliography**

- 476 1. World Health Organization (WHO). *International Classification of Diseases, 11th*
477 *Revision (ICD-11) Geneva: WHO 2018.*
- 478 2. Levine H, Jørgensen N, Martino-Andrade A, et al. Temporal trends in sperm count: a
479 systematic review and meta-regression analysis of samples collected globally in the
480 20th and 21st centuries. *Hum Reprod Update.* 2022;23(6):646-659.
- 481 3. vander Borgh M, Wyns C. Fertility and infertility: Definition and epidemiology. *Clin*
482 *Biochem.* 2018;62:2-10.
- 483 4. Lei A, You H, Luo B, Ren J. The associations between infertility-related stress, family
484 adaptability and family cohesion in infertile couples. *Sci Rep.* 2021;11(1):1-8.
- 485 5. Dutta S, Majzoub A, Agarwal A. Oxidative stress and sperm function: A systematic
486 review on evaluation and management. *Arab J Urol.* 2019;17(2):87-97.
- 487 6. Agarwal A, Sharma RK, Nallella KP, Thomas AJ, Alvarez JG, Sikka SC. Reactive oxygen
488 species as an independent marker of male factor infertility. *Fertil Steril.* 2006;86(4):878-
489 885.
- 490 7. Blackburn EH. Structure and function of telomeres. *Nature.* 1991;350(6319):569-573.
- 491 8. Shammass MA. Telomeres, lifestyle, cancer, and aging. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care.*
492 2011;14(1):28-34.
- 493 9. Gavia-García G, Rosado-Pérez J, Arista-Ugalde TL, Aguiñiga-Sánchez I, Santiago-Osorio E,
494 Mendoza-Núñez VM. Telomere length and oxidative stress and its relation with
495 metabolic syndrome components in the aging. *Biology (Basel).* 2021;10(4):1-29.
- 496 10. Rocca MS, Foresta C, Ferlin A. Telomere length: lights and shadows on their role in
497 human reproduction. *Biol Reprod.* 2019;100(2):305-317.
- 498 11. Chakravarti D, LaBella KA, DePinho RA. Telomeres: history, health, and hallmarks of
499 aging. *Cell.* 2021;184(2):306-322.
- 500 12. Thilagavathi J, Venkatesh S, Dada R. Telomere length in reproduction. *Andrologia.*
501 2013;45(5):289-304.
- 502 13. Yuan Y, Tan Y, Qiu X, et al. Sperm telomere length as a novel biomarker of male
503 infertility and embryonic development: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front*
504 *Endocrinol.* 2023;13:1079966.
- 505 14. Ferlin A, Rampazzo E, Rocca MS, et al. In young men sperm telomere length is related to
506 sperm number and parental age. *Hum Reprod.* 2013;28(12):3370-3376.
- 507 15. Cariati F, Jaroudi S, Alfarawati S, et al. Investigation of sperm telomere length as a
508 potential marker of paternal genome integrity and semen quality. *Reprod Biomed*
509 *Online.* 2016;33(3):404-411.
- 510 16. Berneau SC, Shackleton J, Nevin C, et al. Associations of sperm telomere length with
511 semen parameters, clinical outcomes and lifestyle factors in human normozoospermic
512 samples. *Andrology.* 2020;8(3):583-593.

- 513 17. Lafuente R, Bosch-Rue E, Ribas-Maynou J, et al. Sperm telomere length in motile sperm
514 selection techniques: A qFISH approach. *Andrologia*. 2017;50(2):1-7.
- 515 18. Higgins J, Green S. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version*
516 *5.1.0 [Updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Available from*
517 *Www.Handbook.Cochrane.Org.*; 2011.
- 518 19. Rocca MS, Speltra E, Menegazzo M, Garolla A, Foresta C, Ferlin A. Sperm telomere
519 length as a parameter of sperm quality in normozoospermic men. *Human*
520 *Reproduction*. 2016;31(6):1158-1163.
- 521 20. Torra-Massana M, Barragán M, Bellu E, Oliva R, Rodríguez A, Vassena R. Sperm
522 telomere length in donor samples is not related to ICSI outcome. *J Assist Reprod Genet*.
523 2018;35(4):649-657.
- 524 21. Balmori C, Cordova-Oriz I, De Alba G, et al. Effects of age and oligoasthenozoospermia
525 on telomeres of sperm and blood cells. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2022;44(6):1090-1100.
- 526 22. Zhao F, Yang Q, Shi S, Luo X, Sun Y. Semen preparation methods and sperm telomere
527 length: density gradient centrifugation versus the swim up procedure. *Sci Rep*.
528 2016;6:39051.
- 529 23. Sun Y, Yang Q, Zhang N, et al. Processing of semen by density gradient centrifugation
530 selects spermatozoa with longer telomeres for assisted reproduction techniques.
531 *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2015;31(1):44-50.
- 532 24. Thilagavathi J, Mishra SS, Kumar M, et al. Analysis of telomere length in couples
533 experiencing idiopathic recurrent pregnancy loss. *J Assist Reprod Genet*.
534 2013;30(6):793-798.
- 535 25. Amirzadegan M, Sadeghi N, Tavalae M, Nasr-Esfahani MH. Analysis of leukocyte and
536 sperm telomere length in oligozoospermic men. *Andrologia*. 2021;53(10):e14204.
- 537 26. Thilagavathi J, Kumar M, Mishra SS, Venkatesh S, Kumar R, Dada R. Analysis of sperm
538 telomere length in men with idiopathic infertility. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*.
539 2013;287(4):803-807.
- 540 27. Darmishonnejad Z, Tavalae M, Izadi T, Tanhaei S, Nasr-Esfahani MH. Evaluation of
541 sperm telomere length in infertile men with failed/low fertilization after
542 intracytoplasmic sperm injection. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2018;38(4):579-587.
- 543 28. Darmishonnejad Z, Zarei-Kheirabadi F, Tavalae M, Zarei-Kheirabadi M, Zohrabi D, Nasr-
544 Esfahani MH. Relationship between sperm telomere length and sperm quality in
545 infertile men. *Andrologia*. 2020;52(5):e13546.
- 546 29. Gentiluomo M, Luddi A, Cingolani A, et al. Telomere length and male fertility. *Int J Mol*
547 *Sci*. 2021;22(8):3959.
- 548 30. Tahamtan S, Tavalae M, Izadi T, et al. Reduced sperm telomere length in individuals
549 with varicocele is associated with reduced genomic integrity. *Sci Rep*. 2019;9(1):4336.
- 550 31. Biron-Shental T, Wisner A, Hershko-Klement A, Markovitch O, Amiel A, Berkovitch A.
551 Sub-fertile sperm cells exemplify telomere dysfunction. *J Assist Reprod Genet*.
552 2018;35(1):143-148.

- 553 32. Mishra S, Kumar R, Malhotra N, Singh N, Dada R. Mild oxidative stress is beneficial for
554 sperm telomere length maintenance. *World J Methodol.* 2016;6(2):163.
- 555 33. Rocca MS, Dusi L, Nisio A Di, et al. TERRA: A Novel Biomarker of Embryo Quality and Art
556 Outcome. *Genes (Basel).* 2021;12(4):475.
- 557 34. Berby B, Bichara C, Rives-Feraille A, et al. Oxidative stress is associated with telomere
558 interaction impairment and chromatin condensation defects in spermatozoa of infertile
559 males. *Antioxidants.* 2021;10(4):593.
- 560 35. Lara-Cerrillo S, Gual-Frau J, Benet J, et al. Microsurgical varicocelectomy effect on
561 sperm telomere length, DNA fragmentation and seminal parameters. *Hum Fertil.*
562 2022;25(1):135-141.
- 563 36. Heidary H, Pouresmaeili F, Mirfakhraie R, et al. An association study between
564 longitudinal changes of leukocyte telomere and the risk of azoospermia in a population
565 of Iranian infertile men. *Iran Biomed J.* 2018;22(4):231-236.
- 566 37. Yang Q, Luo X, Bai R, et al. Shorter leukocyte telomere length is associated with risk of
567 nonobstructive azoospermia. *Fertil Steril.* 2018;110(4):648-654.
- 568 38. Yang Q, Zhao F, Dai S, et al. Sperm telomere length is positively associated with the
569 quality of early embryonic development. *Human Reproduction.* 2015;30(8):1876-1881.
- 570 39. Kimura M, Cherkas LF, Kato BS, et al. Offspring's Leukocyte Telomere Length, Paternal
571 Age, and Telomere Elongation in Sperm. *PLoS Genet.* 2008;4(2):e37.
- 572 40. de Meyer T, Rietzschel ER, de buyzere ML, et al. Paternal age at birth is an important
573 determinant of offspring telomere length. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2007;16(24):3097-3102.
- 574 41. Dweck A, Maitra R. The advancement of telomere quantification methods. *Mol Biol*
575 *Rep.* 2021;48(7):5621-5627.
- 576

TABLES

TABLE 1. Summary of the cross-sectional studies investigating the association between sperm and/or leukocyte telomere length and sperm quality parameters or fertility outcomes

Reference	Location	Population studied	Age (years)	Cell type	Primary outcomes	Secondary outcomes
Fertile or normozoospermic populations						
Rocca et al. 2016 ¹⁹	Italy	100 normozoospermic fertile participants	34.0 (8.6)	STL	Semen parameters (volume, total count, concentration, progressive motility, vitality and morphology)	Sperm DNA fragmentation and normal protamination
Berneau et al. 2020 ¹⁶	United Kingdom	66 normozoospermic participants	35.5 (4.5); [25-45]	STL	Semen parameters (volume, total count, concentration, progressive and grade-A motility and immotile sperm)	No
Torra-Massana et al. 2018 ²⁰	Spain	60 donor participants	24.3 (5.0); [18-35]	STL	Sperm parameters (concentration and motility)	No
Balmori et al. 2021 ²¹	Spain	20 normozoospermic subjects	21.2 (2.4)	STL	Semen parameters (total count and total progressive motility)	No
Infertile populations						
Lafuente et al. 2017 ¹⁷	Spain	42 infertile patients	NR	STL	Sperm parameters (concentration, progressive motility and immotile sperm)	Sperm DNA fragmentation
Thilagavathi et al. 2013 ²⁴	India	25 iRPL subjects	33.2 (5.2)	LTL	Sperm parameters (pH, total count and motility)	Sperm DNA fragmentation
Zhao et al. 2016 ²²	China	150 normozoospermic infertile subjects	31.8 (6.1)	STL	Semen parameters (volume, total count, concentration, progressive motility and normal morphology)	Sperm DNA fragmentation
Sun et al. 2015 ²³	China	105 infertile subjects	31.2 (6.1)	STL	Semen parameters (total count)	No
Fertile and infertile or normozoospermic and oligozoospermic populations						
Ferlin et al. 2013 ¹⁴	Italy	61 normozoospermic and 20 oligozoospermic subjects	[18-19]	STL and LTL	Semen parameters (total count)	No
Cariati et al. 2016 ¹⁵	Italy	54 normozoospermic and 19 oligozoospermic	Normozoospermic 39.4 (5.5); oligozoospermic 39.3 (5.3); [31-52]	STL	Semen parameters (total count, motility and normal morphology)	No

(Continued)

TABLE 1. *Continued*

Reference	Location	Population studied	Age (years)	Cell type	Primary outcomes	Secondary outcomes
Thilagavathi et al. 2013 ²⁶	India	32 idiopathic infertile and 25 fertile participants	NR	STL	Semen parameters (pH, volume, total count, motility and normal morphology)	Sperm DNA fragmentation
Amirzadegan et al. 2021 ²⁵	Iran	10 fertile and 10 oligozoospermic subjects	Fertile: 35.5 (5.6); oligozoospermic: 40.3 (3.8)	STL and LTL	Semen parameters (total count, concentration, motility, abnormal morphology)	Sperm DNA fragmentation, protamine deficiency
Darmishonnejad et al. 2018 ²⁷	Iran	10 fertile and 10 infertile subjects	Fertile: 40.1 (3.1); infertile: 38.1 (4.2)	STL and LTL	Semen parameters (total count, concentration, motility and abnormal morphology)	No
Darmishonnejad et al. 2020 ²⁸	Iran	19 fertile and 38 infertile subjects	Fertile: 40.5 (3.8); infertile 32.6 (6.6); [20-50]	STL	Semen parameters (concentration, motility and abnormal morphology)	Sperm DNA fragmentation, protamine deficiency
Mishra et al. 2016 ³²	India	102 fertile participants and 112 infertile	Fertile: 32.2 (4.0); infertile: 31.7 (4.4); [18-45]	STL	No	No
Tahamtan et al. 2019 ³⁰	Iran	20 fertile and 18 infertile varicocele patients	Fertile: 41.4 (3.6), varicocele: 28.5 (5.5)	STL and LTL	Semen parameters (sperm count, concentration, motility and abnormal sperm morphology)	Sperm DNA fragmentation, protamine deficiency
Getiluomo et al. 2021 ²⁹	Italy	239 participants	34.8 (7.5)	STL	Semen parameters (total count, concentration, motility, morphology)	No

The studies are ordered as: fertile population, infertile population, and fertile and infertile population. Age is given as mean (SD) or [range] where such data are available. Abbreviations: iRPL, idiopathic recurrent pregnancy loss; LTL, leukocyte telomere length; NR, not reported; STL, sperm telomere length; PBMCs, peripheral blood mononuclear cells

TABLE 2. Summary of case-control results investigating the differences on sperm and/or leukocyte telomere length between normozoospermic (controls) and oligozoospermic (cases) or fertile (controls) and infertile (cases) subjects.

Reference	Location	Population studied	Age (years)	Cell type	Main analyses
Normozoospermic (controls) and oligozoospermic (cases) subjects					
Ferlin et al. 2013 ¹⁴	Italy	61 normozoospermic and 20 oligozoospermic subjects	[18-19]	STL and LTL	Differences in STL and LTL between normozoospermic and oligozoospermic men
Cariati et al. 2016 ¹⁵	Italy	54 normozoospermic and 19 oligozoospermic subjects	Normozoospermic 39.4 (5.5); oligozoospermic 39.3 (5.3); [31-52]	STL	Differences in STL between normozoospermic and oligozoospermic men
Amirzadegan et al. 2021 ²⁵	Iran	10 fertile and 10 oligozoospermic subjects	Fertile: 35.5 (5.6); oligozoospermic: 40.3 (3.8)	STL and LTL	Differences in STL and LTL between fertile and oligozoospermic men
Balmori et al. 2021 ²¹	Spain	Younger group: 20 normozoospermic and 17 oligozoospermic Older group: 20 normozoospermic and 20 oligozoospermic	Younger group: 20 normozoospermic 21.2 (2.4) and 17 oligozoospermic 21.4 (2.3) Group \geq 40 years: 20 normozoospermic 43.3 (3.4) and 20 oligozoospermic 43.6 (4.0)	STL	Differences in STL between normozoospermic and oligozoospermic men under 25 years and between normozoospermic and oligozoospermic men over 40 years
Fertile (controls) and infertile (cases) populations					
Mishra et al. 2016 ³²	India	102 fertile participants and 112 infertile patients	Fertile: 32.2 (4.0); infertile:31.7 (4.4); [18-45]	STL	Differences in STL between fertile and infertile men
Darmishonnejad et al. 2020 ²⁸	Iran	19 fertile and 38 infertile subjects	Fertile: 40.5 (3.8); infertile 32.6 (6.6); [20-50]	STL and LTL	Differences in STL between fertile and infertile men
Berby et al. 2021 ³⁴	France	20 control men and 30 infertile patients	Control: 35.1 (5.7); infertile: 35.2 (8.0)	STL	Differences in STL between control and infertile men
Rocca et al. 2021 ³³	Italia	30 healthy controls and 35 men undergoing ART	Control: 36.1 (6.8); ART group: 39.6 (5.4)	STL	Differences in STL between control and ART men

Continued

TABLE 2. Continued

Reference	Location	Population studied	Age	Cell type	Main analyses
Thilagavathi et al. 2013 ²⁶	India	32 idiopathic infertile and 25 fertile men	NR	STL	Differences in STL between fertile and idiopathic infertile men
Darmishonnejad et al. 2018 ²⁷	Iran	10 fertile and 10 infertile patients	Fertile: 40.1 (3.1); infertile: 38.1 (4.2)	STL and LTL	Differences in STL between fertile and infertile men
Biron-Shental et al. 2017 ³¹	Israel	10 fertile and 16 sub-fertile subjects requiring ICSI	Fertile: 36.5 (7.0); sub-fertile: 37.4 (5.0)	STL	Differences in STL between fertile and sub-fertile subjects
Yang et al. 2018 ³⁷	China	270 normal men, 247 with obstructive azoospermia and 349 with nonobstructive azoospermia patients	Normal: 33.0 (29.0-38.0); OA: 27.0 (25.0-31.0); NOA: 27.0 (25.0-31.0)	LTL	Differences in LTL between normal, OA and NOA men
Thilagavathi et al. 2013 ²⁴	India	20 fertile controls and 25 iRPL patients	Controls: 31.5 (5.3); iRPL subjects: 33.2 (5.2)	LTL	Differences in STL between fertile and iRPL patients
Tahamtan et al. 2019 ³⁰	Iran	20 fertile controls and 18 infertile subjects with grade II or III varicocele	Fertile: 41.4 (3.6); varicocele: 28.5 (5.5)	STL and LTL	Differences in STL between fertile and varicocele patients
Lara-Cerillo et al. 2020 ³⁵	Spain	12 fertile donors and 20 patients with unilateral or bilateral grade II or higher varicocele	17-44	STL	Differences in STL between fertile controls, before and after surgery in varicocele patients
Heidary et al. 2018 ³⁶	Iran	30 fertile participants and 30 idiopathic non-obstructive azoospermic patients	35.4 (4.5)	LTL	Differences in LTL between fertile controls and idiopathic non-obstructive azoospermic men

Age is given as mean (SD), [range] or median (25th-75th percentile) where such data are available. Abbreviations: ART, assisted reproductive technology; DGC, density or discontinuous gradient centrifugation; ICSI, intracytoplasmic sperm injection; iRPL, idiopathic recurrent pregnancy loss; IVF, *in vitro* fertilization; LTL, leukocyte telomere length; NOA, nonobstructive azoospermia; NR, not reported; OA, obstructive azoospermia; STL, sperm telomere length; SU, swim-up.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Flowchart of the literature search and selection process.

Figure 2. Forest plot of mean differences (MD) and 95% of confidence intervals (CI) for studies evaluating the association between **(A)** Sperm Telomere Length and **(B)** Leukocyte Telomere Length in infertile vs. fertile participants. Red squares for each study indicate the MD, the size of the boxes indicates the weight of the study, and the horizontal lines indicate the 95% CI. The data in bold and diamond represents the pooled MD and 95% CI. Overall estimates were obtained using fixed-effect models. A MD value <0 indicates a negative association between telomere length and fertility group; infertile group had lower telomere length than fertile group.

Figure 3. Forest plot of mean differences (MD) and 95% of confidence intervals (CI) for studies evaluating the association between Sperm Telomere Length in oligozoospermic vs. normozoospermic participants. Red squares for each study indicate the MD, the size of the boxes indicates the weight of the study, and the horizontal lines indicate the 95% CI. The data in bold and diamond represents the pooled MD and 95% CI. Overall estimates were obtained using fixed-effect models. A MD value <0 indicates a negative association between telomere length and normal seminogram result; oligozoospermic group had lower telomere length than normozoospermic group.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information 1. Complete search strategy for the literature published between the earliest available online indexing year and May 2022 in the MEDLINE-Pubmed database.

Search terms:

(""telomere""[MeSH Terms] OR ""telomere shortening""[MeSH Terms] OR ""telomere homeostasis""[MeSH Terms] OR ""telomerase""[MeSH Terms] OR (""telomere""[All Fields] OR ""telomere shortening""[All Fields] OR ""telomere homeostasis""[All Fields] OR ""telomer*""[All Fields] OR ""telomere length""[All Fields] OR ""telomerase""[All Fields] OR ""telomerase activity""[All Fields] OR ""telomere maintenance""[All Fields])) AND (""spermatozoa""[MeSH Terms] OR ""spermatogenesis""[MeSH Terms] OR ""sperm motility""[MeSH Terms] OR ""sperm count""[MeSH Terms] OR ""sperm maturation""[MeSH Terms] OR ""sperm capacitation""[MeSH Terms] OR ""semen""[MeSH Terms] OR ""semen analysis""[MeSH Terms] OR ""infertility, male""[MeSH Terms] OR ""oligospermia""[MeSH Terms] OR ""aspermia""[MeSH Terms] OR ""asthenozoospermia""[MeSH Terms] OR ""azoospermia""[MeSH Terms] OR ""teratozoospermia""[MeSH Terms] OR (""sperm""[All Fields] OR ""sperm*""[All Fields] OR ""sperm motility""[All Fields] OR ""sperm count""[All Fields] OR ""semen""[All Fields] OR ""semen""[All Fields] OR ""semen analysis""[All Fields] OR ""semen quality""[All Fields] OR ""oligospermia""[All Fields] OR ""aspermia""[All Fields] OR ""azoospermia""[All Fields] OR ""asthenozoospermia""[All Fields] OR ""teratozoospermia""[All Fields] OR ""oligozoospermia""[All Fields] OR ""oligoasthenozoospermia""[All Fields] OR ""oligoasthenoteratozoospermia""[All Fields] OR ""male fertility""[All Fields] OR ""sperm dysfunction""[All Fields] OR ""spermatogenesis""[All Fields] OR ""protamine deficiency""[All Fields] OR ""sperm parameters""[All Fields] OR ""sperm dna fragmentation""[All Fields] OR ""sperm dna damage""[All Fields] OR ""varicocele""[All Fields] OR ""non obstructive azoospermia""[All Fields] OR ""erectile

dysfunction"[All Fields] OR "sperm dna extraction"[All Fields] OR "spermatozoa abnormality"[All Fields] OR "sperm chromosomal abnormalities"[All Fields]))"

Supplemental Figure 1. Sensitivity analysis. Forest plot of mean differences (MD) and 95% of confidence intervals (CI) for studies evaluating the association between **(A)** Sperm Telomere Length and **(B)** Leukocyte Telomere Length in infertile vs. fertile participants. Red squares for each study indicate the MD, the size of the boxes indicates the weight of the study, and the horizontal lines indicate the 95% CI. The data in bold and diamond represents the pooled MD and 95% CI. Overall estimates were obtained using random-effect models. A MD value <0 indicates a negative association between telomere length and fertility group; infertile group had lower telomere length than fertile group.

Supplemental Figure 2. Sensitivity analysis. Forest plot of mean differences (MD) and 95% of confidence intervals (CI) for studies evaluating the association between Sperm Telomere Length in oligozoospermic vs. normozoospermic participants. Red squares for each study indicate the MD, the size of the boxes indicates the weight of the study, and the horizontal lines indicate the 95% CI. The data in bold and diamond represents the pooled MD and 95% CI. Overall estimates were obtained using random-effect models. A MD value <0 indicates a negative association between telomere length and normal seminogram result; oligozoospermic group had lower telomere length than normozoospermic group.